

I-405

CORRIDOR PROGRAM NEPA/SEPA DRAFT EIS

DRAFT RECREATION EXPERTISE REPORT

Submitted to:
Washington State Department of Transportation
401 Second Avenue, Suite 300
Seattle, WA 98104-2887

Prepared by:
David Evans and Associates, Inc.
415 - 118th Avenue SE
Bellevue, WA 98005-3518

Revised August 2001

I-405 CORRIDOR PROGRAM

Draft Recreation Expertise Report

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY	S-1
1. INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 Report Organization and Scope	1-1
1.2 Overview of I-405 Corridor Program	1-1
1.3 Need For the Proposed Action	1-2
1.3.1 Growth in Travel Demand.....	1-2
1.3.2 Traffic Congestion and Reliability	1-6
1.3.3 Freight Mobility	1-9
1.3.4 Safety	1-10
1.4 Purpose of the Proposed Action	1-10
1.5 Study Area	1-11
2. DESCRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVES	2-1
2.1 No Action Alternative	2-3
2.2 Alternative 1: High-Capacity Transit/TDM Emphasis	2-4
2.3 Alternative 2: Mixed Mode with High-Capacity Transit/Transit Emphasis	2-7
2.4 Alternative 3: Mixed Mode Emphasis.....	2-8
2.5 Alternative 4: General Capacity Emphasis	2-8
3. METHODOLOGY AND COORDINATION.....	3-1
3.1 Evaluation Criteria	3-1
3.2 Approach to Analyses	3-1
3.3 Coordination with Agencies and Jurisdictions.....	3-2
3.4 Plans, Policies, and Approvals	3-2
4. AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	4-1
4.1 Public Parks and Trails	4-1
4.2 Regional Parks and Trails	4-1
4.3 Sub-Regional Parks	4-1

4.4 Neighborhood/Passive Parks	4-1
5. IMPACT ANALYSIS	5-1
5.1 No Action Alternative	5-1
5.1.1 Construction Impacts.....	5-1
5.1.2 Operational Impacts	5-2
5.1.3 Secondary Impacts.....	5-2
5.1.4 Mitigation Measures	5-5
5.2 Alternative 1: High-Capacity Transit/TDM	5-5
5.2.1 Construction Impacts.....	5-5
5.2.2 Operational Impacts	5-5
5.2.3 Secondary Impacts.....	5-6
5.2.4 Mitigation Measures	5-6
5.3 Alternative 2: Mixed Mode with High-Capacity Transit/ Transit Emphasis	5-10
5.3.1 Construction Impacts.....	5-12
5.3.2 Operational Impacts	5-15
5.3.3 Secondary Impacts.....	5-15
5.3.4 Mitigation Measures	5-15
5.4 Alternative 3: Mixed Mode.....	5-16
5.4.1 Construction Impacts.....	5-18
5.4.2 Operational Impacts	5-21
5.4.3 Secondary Impacts.....	5-21
5.4.4 Mitigation Measures	5-21
5.5 Alternative 4: Roadway Capacity Emphasis.....	5-21
5.5.1 Construction Impacts.....	5-24
5.5.2 Operational Impacts	5-24
5.5.3 Secondary Impacts.....	5-24
5.5.4 Mitigation Measures	5-24
6. COMPARISON OF IMPACTS	6-1
7. REFERENCES	7-1

APPENDICES

- A: Major Element Descriptions
- B: Alternatives and Project Matrix
- C: Communication and Coordination

LIST OF TABLES

Table S.1: Summary of Public Parks and Trails Affected	S-2
Table S.2: Summary of Potential Impacts and Possible Mitigation Measures	S-3
Table 1.1: Comparison of Typical I-405 Study Area P.M. Peak Hour Travel Times by Mode	1-8
Table 2.1: System Elements Contained in Each Alternative.....	2-2
Table 5.1: Public Parks and Trails Potentially Impacted by the No Action Alternative.....	5-1
Table 5.2: Public Parks and Trails Potentially Impacted by Alternative 1	5-9
Table 5.3: Public Parks and trails Potentially Impacted by Alternative 2	5-10
Table 5.4: Public Parks and Trails Potentially Impacted by Alternative 3	5-16
Table 5.5: Public Parks and Trails Potentially Impacted by Alternative 4	5-22
Table 6.1: Comparison of Parks and Trails Impacts	6-1

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Study Area	1-3
Figure 1.2: Daily Traffic Volumes at Selected Locations on I-405	1-5
Figure 1.3: Hours of Traffic Congestion on I-405	1-7
Figure 2.1: No Action Alternative	2-5
Figure 2.2: Alternative 1 - HCT/TDM Emphasis.....	2-11
Figure 2.3: Alternative 2 - Mixed Mode Emphasis with HCT/TDM Emphasis	2-13
Figure 2.4: Alternative 3 - Mixed Mode Emphasis	2-15
Figure 2.5: Alternative 4 - General Capacity Emphasis	2-17
Figure 4.1: Affected Environment – Parks and Trails.....	4-3
Figure 5.1: Parklands with No Action Alternative Projects	5-3
Figure 5.2: Parklands with Alternative 1 Projects.....	5-7
Figure 5.3: Parklands with Alternative 2 Projects.....	5-13
Figure 5.4: Parklands with Alternative 3 Projects.....	5-19
Figure 5.5: Parklands with Alternative 4 Projects.....	5-27

This page intentionally left blank.



Draft Recreation Resources Expertise Report

SUMMARY

This discipline report assesses the potential impacts of four proposed action alternatives and a No Action Alternative for the I-405 Improvements Project on the public parks and trails within the study area. The analysis is conducted at a programmatic level to contribute to the decision making process for the project. Under federal law, there are properties that may have been acquired through public funds, are a public owned parks, recreation areas or wildlife refuges. These properties can also include historic sites or national significance and as such require what is referred to as a 4(F) review. The 4(f) is a reference to the federal code section. A 6(f) evaluation is similar, but the properties have been acquired through Land and Water Conservation Funds, a 1965 federal act.

Public parks and trails impacted by the I-405 projects are identified on a corridor level; they will require a future detailed Section 4(f) evaluation, as design concepts are refined. The actual detailed Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) evaluation will be undertaken in project-specific environmental documentation.

Parks and trails are the public recreational facilities within the I-405 study area. The majority of the parklands impacted are the regional parks that provide open space, active recreational areas, passive park settings, water access, artwork, and community gathering areas. On a smaller scale, neighborhood parks are also impacted. The trails generally are located along the regional rivers and cross through many of the jurisdictions. The trails, specifically the Sammamish River Trail and the Cedar River Trail, are impacted at many different points.

There are 405 parks, open spaces, trails, and sports facilities within the entire project study area. There are 182 parks, open spaces, trails, or sports facilities within one-quarter mile of the I-405 projects. Out of the 182 nearby public parks and trails, there are up to 15 parks and trails, noted in Table S.1, that are impacted by partial or substantial property acquisitions.

Table S.1: Summary of Public Parks and Trails Affected

Alternative	Number of Public Parks and Trails Impacted	General Acreage Impacted	Substantial Impacts
No Action	3	7.0	Property acquisitions
Alternative 1*	9	7.0	Property acquisitions
Alternative 2*	15	12	Property acquisitions
Alternative 3*	15	13	Property acquisitions
Alternative 4*	11	14	Property acquisitions

* All totals are in addition to totals from the No Action Alternative

Table S.2: Summary of Potential Impacts and Possible Mitigation Measures

Element	Environmental Consequences	Summary of Mitigation
No Action Alternative	Three public parks and trails with approximately 6.8 acres would be potentially impacted. The most substantial acquisition areas are the Sammamish River Trail and Marymoor Park. Construction impacts to the public parks and trails range from temporary erosion/sedimentation to dust, noise, and temporary access issues. These temporary impacts are related to construction vehicles, potential interim traffic detours, and general construction activity. The impact of the acquisition may be considered substantial on these three regional parks, and replacement or enhancement of the remaining park functions would need to be evaluated in a project-specific Section 4(f) evaluation. The potential operational impacts of the No Action Alternative would be increased noise and vehicular traffic to three regional parks. Noise, accessibility and demands on parking and overall interior circulation could be impacted.	Baseline mitigation measures recommended to avoid or reduce impacts would be temporary erosion/sedimentation control, water quality measures, replacement (or enhancement of functions) of parkland/trail, protection of notable trees, project design to reduce the area of impacts, and realignment or potential replacement of affected trails. Additionally, a defined traffic control (auto and pedestrian) program to lessen the impacts to the park functions during construction could be prepared. Detailed mitigation measures would be defined in a Section 6(f) and/or Section 4(f) evaluation.
Alternative 1	9 public parks and trails would be impacted by acquisition, equaling approximately 6.8 acres. All impacts are in addition to the baseline impacts associated with the No Action Alternative. Most substantial are the Mercer Slough and Coal Creek Park. Construction impacts to the public parks and trails include from erosion, removal of established vegetation, dust, noise and temporary access issues. These temporary impacts are related to the construction vehicles, potential interim traffic detours, and general construction activity.	
Alternative 2	15 public parks and trails may be impacted, some more than once, by acquisitions equaling approximately 12.3 acres. All impacts are in addition to the baseline impacts associated with the No Action Alternative. The most substantial acquisition affects the Mercer Slough Park. Construction and operational impacts are similar to Alternative 3.	
Alternative 3	16 public parks and trails would be impacted by property acquisitions totaling approximately 12.5 acres. All impacts are in addition to the baseline impacts associated with the No Action Alternative. The most substantial acquisition is Mercer Slough. Construction and operational impacts would be the same as the No Action Alternative and Alternative 2.	
Alternative 4	11 public parks and trails would be impacted by property acquisitions (some more than once) totaling approximately 14.6 acres. All impacts are in addition to the baseline impacts associated with the No Action Alternative. The most substantial acquisition affects Mercer Slough Park. The potential construction and operational would be the same as the No Action Alternative and Alternatives 2 and 3.	

This page intentionally left blank.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Report Organization and Scope

The purpose of this report is to present an evaluation of the potential impacts of five alternative approaches to traffic and transportation-related improvements in the I-405 corridor on public parks and trails. The analysis has been conducted at the corridor level and represents a programmatic, rather than project-level, assessment of impacts. The report addresses the potential impacts of each of the four proposed action alternatives as well as a No Action Alternative.

Preparation of a Section 4(f) evaluation, under Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act and an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) under Section 6(f) of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965, if required, will be done on project-specific levels. A programmatic level Section 4(f) evaluation and EIA will not be prepared.

1.2 Overview of I-405 Corridor Program

Construction of the 30-mile Interstate 405 (I-405) freeway in the early 1960s as a bypass around Seattle for Interstate 5 (I-5) traffic also opened the rural, agricultural countryside east of Lake Washington to commercial and residential development. Interstate 405 currently ranges from six to ten lanes along the 30-mile corridor, and it is the designated military route through Seattle, as Interstate 5 was deemed too constricted (see Figure 1.1). Construction of the Evergreen Point (SR 520) floating bridge in 1963 further set the stage for rapid and substantial changes on the Eastside.

Today, I-405 has changed dramatically from a Seattle bypass to become the region's dominant north-south travel corridor east of I-5. More than two-thirds of the total trips on I-405 begin and end in the corridor itself. The remaining third have strong ties with the communities along SR 167 to the south of the study area, and with developing areas to the east within the urban growth area of King County. However, as the regional importance of the I-405 corridor has grown, it has become increasingly evident that worsening traffic congestion within the corridor has the potential to create serious adverse effects on personal and freight mobility, the environment, the state and regional economy, and the quality of life.

In response to these and other concerns, the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) has joined with the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Federal Transit Administration (FTA), Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority (Sound Transit), King County, and local governments to develop strategies to reduce traffic congestion and improve mobility in the I-405 corridor from Tukwila in the south to Lynnwood in the north.

The I-405 Corridor Program is a cooperative effort involving over 30 agencies that have responsibilities for planning, regulating, and implementing transportation improvements in the 250+ square-mile corridor. The decision to be made through the combined National

Environmental Policy Act/State Environmental Policy Act EIS policy is to identify the best mix of modal solutions, transportation investments, and demand management to improve movement of people and goods throughout the I-405 corridor, reduce foreseeable traffic congestion, and satisfy the overall program purpose and need.

The programmatic I-405 Corridor Program EIS focuses on broad corridor-wide issues related to travel mode and transportation system performance. This is consistent with the program objective to enable program decisions focusing on mode choice, corridor selection, general location of improvements, and how combinations of improvements may function together as a system to solve corridor-wide transportation problems. A programmatic level of analysis is appropriate and necessary at this early stage in the decision-making process, when many project-level design details would not be meaningful in evaluating effects on mobility and environmental quality across such a large area. Subsequent environmental analysis, documentation, and review will be prepared to enable decisions regarding site-specific, project-level details on alignments, high-capacity transit technology, project impacts, costs, and mitigation measures after a preferred alternative has been identified.



1.3 Need For the Proposed Action

The need identified for the I-405 Corridor Program is:


To improve personal and freight mobility and reduce foreseeable traffic congestion in the corridor that encompasses the I-405 study area from Tukwila to Lynnwood in a manner that is safe, reliable, and cost-effective.

The following sub-sections expand upon the issues and trends that influence the need for the proposed action, particularly with respect to travel demand and traffic congestion, and the attendant effects on freight mobility and safety.

1.3.1 Growth in Travel Demand

Between 1970 and 1990, communities in the I-405 corridor grew much faster than the central Puget Sound region as a whole. During the 20-year period, employment in the study area increased over 240 percent from 94,500 to 323,175 and population grew nearly 80 percent from 285,800 to 508,560.

Population and employment continued to grow during the 1990s; in particular, employment grew at an annual rate of almost 3.5 percent. Looking ahead, growth in the corridor through 2020 likely would keep pace with the robust rate of growth in the Puget Sound region. The I-405 corridor population and employment is forecast to increase by more than 35 percent. This means that by 2020 an additional 144,000 people are expected to be employed within the study area, while the population is expected to reach approximately 765,000, an increase of more than 200,000 people from 1997.



Legend: Study Area

- Study Area Boundary
- Urban Growth Area
- County Boundaries
- Highways
- Roadways
- Railways



1 0 1 2 3 Miles

Note: All projects contained in the No Action Alternative (not indicated in Figure) would be implemented as part of each action alternative.


This page intentionally left blank.

1.3.1.1 Travel Demand

Travel demand trends in the I-405 corridor match these population and employment trends: between 1995 and 2020, person-trips are generally expected to increase more than 50 percent. Travel demand in terms of traffic volume is heaviest within the study area on I-405 itself, with the freeway carrying 60 to 70 percent of the total daily traffic volumes passing through the study area in the north-south direction. Conversely, the arterial streets carried 30 to 40 percent. In the east-west direction, the arterial street system plays an important role, with volumes almost equally distributed between the arterial streets and the two east-west freeways, I-90 and SR 520. In 1999, the highest volumes on I-405 occurred in the vicinity of NE 8th Street in Bellevue: about 210,000 vehicles per day. I-405 at SR 900 in Renton typified traffic volumes on I-405 south of I-90, carrying about 138,000 vehicles per day.

WSDOT's most recent traffic count data (1999) show the lowest I-405 traffic volumes, 95,000 vehicles per day, in the north end between SR 522 and I-5 at Swamp Creek, and the highest, 210,000 vehicles per day, between I-90 and SR 520. The section south of Kirkland to SR 520 carries 185,000 to 195,000 vehicles per day, and the section south of I-90 typically carries 150,000 vehicles per day. Figure 1.2 shows these findings. This variation in traffic volumes is the result of different travel demands within the corridor as well as the available capacity on the freeway.

Figure 1.2: Daily Traffic Volumes at Selected Locations on I-405



1.3.1.2 Mode Split

Single-occupant vehicles (SOVs) generate the majority of traffic demand: up to 78 percent of work trips within the I-405 study area are SOVs. High-occupancy vehicles (HOVs) and transit users comprise around 20 percent of all work trips within the study area. SOV use in the study area is higher than the average for King County, while HOV and walk/bike percentages are lower. These results reflect the more suburban character of the I-405 study area.

The segment of I-405 with the highest peak-period transit ridership is between SR 520 and the Totem Lake area (2,100 riders). Transit ridership near each of the northern and southern termini of I-405 is less than 1,000 riders during peak periods. To encourage more transit demand, Sound Transit's Regional Express program is currently in the planning and early design stages of new park-and-ride lots, transit centers, and direct access ramps, including large-scale improvements to several I-405 interchanges. King County Metro and Sound Transit's evolving bus transit services concept for the I-405 study area would serve multiple activity centers, instead of the traditional Seattle/Bellevue hub-and-spoke design.

1.3.1.3 Trip Characteristics

Travel demand on I-405 appears greater for longer trips; along several sections of I-405, the average vehicle trip length exceeds 25 miles, roughly three times the study area average. Forecasts for 2020 show the freeway attracting even more long trips, with over 50 percent of all trips on I-405 exceeding 30 miles in length.

Today in the study area, only 20 percent of the total daily person-trips are home-based work trips, that is, commute trips directly to and from work. Thirty-nine percent of daily person-trips are other home-based trips (e.g., shopping, recreational, personal business) and 28 percent are non-home-based trips (e.g., traveling from work to daycare or shopping). School (2 percent) and commercial vehicle trips (11 percent) make up the rest. The relative shares of each trip purpose are expected to be similar in 2020. The fairly small share of trips that are purely to and from work reflects the fact that people are increasingly linking their trips, stopping on the way home to shop, pick up children, etc. (which are considered non-home based trips). This poses a challenge for transit and carpool/vanpool use.


1.3.2 Traffic Congestion and Reliability

1.3.2.1 Traffic Congestion

Heavy travel demand and frequent traffic incidents contribute to substantial traffic congestion on I-405, although they are not the only causes. Traffic congestion along I-405 is widespread during the morning and afternoon peak periods and has spread to surrounding time periods. A useful way to examine daily congestion is to look at the number of hours during which a facility is congested. For purposes of this analysis, "congestion" on the freeway is defined as travel speeds below 45 mph. Figure 1.3 illustrates the severity of traffic congestion that was present in 1997 at twelve points along I-405. The duration of traffic congestion in the northbound and southbound directions is roughly the same. The most congested area of I-405 is from I-5 in Tukwila to NE Park Drive in the city

of Renton. Traffic congestion for 10-12 hours per day is typical in this section. For most other sections, traffic congestion lasts 2 to 7 hours per day.

Figure 1.3: Hours of Traffic Congestion on I-405



Source: PSRC Model, Mirai Associates

The average daily “volume per freeway lane” is quite consistent throughout the corridor, which demonstrates that traffic volumes alone do not cause congestion. The most likely reason for the high hours of congestion in the south end of I-405 relates to freeway “friction” caused by curves (e.g., the “S-Curves”), grades (e.g., Kenneydale Hill), and complex interchanges at I-5 and SR 167.

Traffic congestion on I-405 often results in blockage of mainline flows throughout the day by vehicles that cannot get onto the ramps at such locations as SR 167, I-90, SR 520, and SR 522. The spill-over traffic from the ramps has created substantial mainline traffic congestion and operational hazards throughout the I-405 corridor. This congestion also causes traffic to back up onto local arterials.

1.3.2.2 Travel Time

Variation in congestion causes travel times to vary widely within the I-405 study area, depending upon the origin and destination of the trip and the mode of travel being used.

Table 1.1 summarizes typical P.M. peak-hour travel times (1995 data) for a variety of study area trips, averaging 23 miles in length. The times are for door-to-door travel, including in-vehicle time and access to the trip's origin and destination. The fastest trips are typically by non-transit HOV mode, particularly for longer trips along I-405 that can take full advantage of the HOV lane system. Traveling along the full length of I-405 during the peak period can take longer than one hour for general traffic. Transit travel times are often at least twice as long as driving the equivalent distance, especially for people walking to the transit stops. Transit travel times are 10 to 15 percent faster for park-and-ride access trips compared with walk access transit trips. This is partially due to shorter wait times at park-and-ride locations created by more frequent transit service.

Table 1.1: Comparison of Typical I-405 Study Area P.M. Peak Hour Travel Times by Mode

Trip	Distance (miles)	General Traffic Travel Time (min)	HOV Travel Time (min)	Transit Travel Time Walk Access (min)	Transit Travel Time Park-and-Ride Access (min)
Bellevue Central Business District (CBD) to Federal Way/Kent	25	56	40	95	83
Renton to Mill Creek	33	65	49	125	105
Bellevue CBD to Edmonds/Lynnwood	19	42	38	85	76
Tukwila/SeaTac to Redmond/Overlake	23	49	39	116	103
Issaquah/Cougar Mt. to Bothell/Kenmore	23	46	39	108	98
Issaquah/Cougar Mt. to Federal Way/Kent	23	56	47	132	118

Source: Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC) Model - 1995 base year

1.3.2.3 Travel Time Reliability

Not only do travel times vary by segment within the I-405 study area, they are unpredictable from day to day. The reliability of travel times can be defined in terms of deviation from a mean travel time when travelers in the same transportation mode repeat their trips with identical travel routes starting at a same time of day. A transportation system provides a good level of service when travelers experience the same travel time every time or with little deviation.

The Washington State Transportation Center (TRAC) conducted research to measure the performance of the freeway system in the Central Puget Sound area, which includes the travel time reliability measure for general traffic along I-405. The most recent analysis results are described in the report entitled Central Puget Sound Freeway Network Usage and Performance, 1999 Update, Volume 1 (Washington State Transportation Center and Washington State Department of Transportation). The following summarizes the findings of the travel time reliability data prepared by the TRAC for 1999.

- Existing travel time reliability for the vehicles traveling *from Tukwila to Bellevue CBD* is very poor during the mid-day and evening periods and extremely poor during the morning peak period.

- Existing travel time reliability for the vehicles traveling *from Bellevue CBD to Tukwila* is poor throughout the day (from 6:00 A.M. to 6:30 P.M.). In particular, the travel time reliability during the afternoon peak period is very poor and the traffic flows in the period are highly unstable.
- Existing travel time reliability for the trips *from Bellevue CBD to SR 522* is relatively poor during the P.M. peak period. Travelers starting trips during other periods have experienced good travel time reliability.
- Existing travel time reliability problems for the trips *from SR 522 to Bellevue CBD* are confined to the A.M. peak period. The problem is worst at 8 A.M.

Traffic incidents along the freeway corridor are major causes of the reliability problems. The State's Incident Management Program was implemented to help improve overall travel time reliability within the I-405 Corridor. Reliability of travel in the HOV lanes is considerably better than in the general purpose lanes. HOV travel times typically operate from 15-20 miles per hour faster than the adjacent general purpose lanes during congested time periods. HOV travel time reliability suffers when there is a major incident along I-405 with stop-and-go conditions. In these situations, HOV speeds drop and the level of HOV lane violations tends to increase.

1.3.3 Freight Mobility

The decreasing reliability of the regional transportation system, including I-405, is creating a serious problem for regional freight mobility. The central Puget Sound region serves as an important freight gateway to Pacific Rim countries. Automobiles, forest and agricultural products, communications and computer equipment, and hundreds of other items continuously move over the region's roadways and railroads, to seaports and airports. Substantial delay as a result of transportation system congestion is costing the region's businesses nearly \$700 million a year, according to information from WSDOT. The cost to the freight industry itself is estimated to be around \$200 million per year.

Products shipped by truck across I-90 from Eastern Washington reach points north and south of Seattle via I-405. At the same time, I-405 serves as a heavily used transport corridor for local freight delivery to and from the cities along the corridor. Smaller trucks, such as delivery vans, account for many freight trips within the region, and these trips could benefit greatly from roadway improvements to I-405.

Interstate 405 continues to be used by freight carriers as an alternative to the preferred I-5 route when severe congestion occurs on I-5 in downtown Seattle near the Convention Center (one of the most substantial freight mobility bottlenecks in the region). I-405 also provides ready access to the distribution centers along SR 167 in the Kent Valley. Volumes of heavy trucks on the portion of I-405 south of I-90 are about double those along the northern portion due to truck movements to and from the Kent Valley. Truckers identify congestion at the SR 167/I-405 interchange as one of the worst transportation system problems in the region, and the trucking community supports improvements to this major truck corridor interchange as one of its top priorities.

The latest data indicate that the central Puget Sound region's roadways carry approximately 1.2 million truck trips each day, with about 70 percent of those trips occurring within King County. I-405 carries a substantial portion of those trips, moving up to 90 percent of the

total truck origins and destinations in east King County. Truck volumes along I-405 are expected to grow by 50 percent by the year 2010. Reductions in system reliability and resulting higher transportation costs increase the cost of manufacturing and distributing goods, while adversely affecting economic vitality and job creation. Accessibility to markets becomes increasingly difficult with worsening traffic congestion and delay. Improvements to the I-405 corridor could provide tangible economic benefits for all of Washington State.

1.3.4 Safety

Twenty-nine of the 280 high accident locations in King and Snohomish counties are located along I-405. Most high accident locations are associated with ramps connecting to I-405, including those at SR 181 (Interurban), SR 169, SR 900 (Sunset and Park), Coal Creek Parkway, SE 8th Street, NE 4th Street, NE 8th Street, SR 908 (NE 85th Street), NE 116th Street, NE 160th Street, and SR 527. The portion of I-405 north of SR 527 is identified as a high accident corridor due to the relatively higher speeds and more serious injuries associated with these accidents.

Over the three-year period from 1994 to 1996, a total of 5,580 accidents was reported along I-405. Most collisions occurred on the mainline freeway, with about one-fourth of all accidents occurring on the ramps, collector-distributor roads, and cross streets at the interchanges. About half of all collisions involve property damage only, while half involve injuries or fatalities. This injury pattern applies equally to the mainline and ramp segments; however, all seven fatalities reported in this period occurred on the I-405 mainline.

The overall accident rate along I-405 (1.6 accidents per million vehicle miles) is about midrange compared to other freeways in King County. The rates are lower than the average rate for all state highways (1.88 accidents per million vehicle miles, or MVM) and for state highways in King County (2.27 accidents per MVM). On comparable local freeways, I-5 and SR 520 both exhibit accident rates of about 2.0 accidents per MVM. WSDOT's ramp metering program on I-405 has been very successful. Rear-end and sideswipe accidents have decreased by 60 percent to 70 percent near locations with ramp meters.

For state roads serving as surface arterial routes, accident rates typically fall into the range of three to five accidents per MVM. This rate is related to the presence of traffic signals, driveways, pedestrians, and bicyclists, and lower levels of access control. These accident rates are typical of urban arterial facilities. Accident rates for selected arterial and collector routes in the primary study area generally range between two and four accidents per MVM, with some streets higher. These streets also experience higher accident rates due to the presence of signalized intersections, driveways, and other conflicts.

1.4 Purpose of the Proposed Action

The purpose of the proposed action is:

To provide an efficient, integrated, and multi-modal system of transportation solutions within the corridor that meets the need in a manner that:

- Provides for maintenance or enhancement of livability for communities within the corridor;
- Provides for maintenance or improvement of air quality, protection or enhancement of fish-bearing streams, and regional environmental values such as continued integrity of the natural environment;
- Supports a vigorous state and regional economy by responding to existing and future travel needs; and
- Accommodates planned regional growth.



1.5 Study Area

The study area for the I-405 Corridor Program defines the general boundaries of the I-405 corridor and encompasses the essential improvements proposed within each alternative. It encompasses an area of approximately 250 square miles that extends on both sides of I-405 between its southern intersection with I-5 in the city of Tukwila and its northern intersection with I-5 in Snohomish County. This area includes the cities of Tukwila, Renton, Newcastle, Bellevue, Redmond, Kirkland, Woodinville, and Bothell, as well as portions of the cities of Issaquah, Kenmore, Kent, Lynnwood, and Mercer Island and adjacent unincorporated areas of King and Snohomish counties.

For purposes of environmental analysis, documentation, and review, potential substantial adverse effects are identified and evaluated wherever they are reasonably likely to occur in the region.

This page intentionally left blank.

2. DESCRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVES

Four programmatic action alternatives and a No Action Alternative are evaluated in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). Each of the four action alternatives is a combination of multi-modal transportation improvements and other mobility solutions packaged to work together as a system. Each package demonstrates a unique emphasis in response to the purpose and need for the I-405 Corridor Program. The improvements and mobility solutions that comprise each action alternative are assembled from the following major elements:

- Transportation demand management (TDM)
- Regional transportation pricing
- Local transit service (bus and other technologies)
- Bus rapid transit (BRT) operating in improved-access high-occupancy vehicle lanes on I-405, I-90, and SR 520
- Fixed-guideway high-capacity transit (HCT) operating with physical separation from other transportation modes
- Arterial high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) and bus transit priority improvements
- HOV express lanes on I-405 and HOV direct access ramps
- Park-and-ride capacity expansions
- Transit center capacity improvements
- Basic I-405 safety and operational improvements
- I-405 general purpose lanes
- I-405 collector-distributor lanes
- I-405 express lanes
- SR 167 general purpose lanes
- Capacity improvements on freeways connecting to I-405
- Planned arterial improvements
- Capacity improvements on north-south arterials
- Arterial connections to I-405
- Pedestrian and bicycle improvements
- Intelligent transportation system (ITS) improvements
- Truck freight traffic enhancements

These elements are described in greater detail in Appendix A (I-405 Corridor Program - Major Elements of Alternatives). Table 2.1 shows the system elements contained in each of the alternatives.

Table 2.1: System Elements Contained in Each Alternative

	<u>No Action Alternative</u>	<u>Alternative 1</u> HCT/TDM Emphasis	<u>Alternative 2</u> Mixed Mode with HCT/Transit Emphasis	<u>Alternative 3</u> Mixed Mode Emphasis	<u>Alternative 4</u> General Capacity Emphasis
Committed and funded freeway projects	X	X	X	X	X
Committed and funded HOV projects	X	X	X	X	X
Committed and funded arterial projects	X	X	X	X	X
Park-and-ride expansions included in No Action	X	X	X	X	X
Transit center improvements included in No Action	X	X	X	X	X
Transportation Demand Management (TDM)	X	X	X	X	X
Expanded TDM regional congestion pricing strategies		X			
Expand transit service by 100% compared to K. Co. 6-year plan		X	X	X	
Expand transit service by 50% compared to K. Co. 6-year plan					X
Physically separated, fixed-guideway HCT system		X	X		
Bus rapid transit operating in improved access HOV lanes				X	
Arterial HOV priority for transit		X	X	X	
HOV direct access ramps on I-405			X	X	X
Additional park-and-ride capacity expansion		X	X	X	
Additional transit center improvements		X	X	X	
Basic I-405 safety and operational improvements		X	X	X	X
I-405/ SR 167 interchange ramps for all major movements			X	X	X
One added general purpose lane in each direction on I-405			X		X
Two added general purpose lanes in each direction on I-405				X	

Table 2.1: (continued) System Elements Contained in Each Alternative

	<u>No Action Alternative</u>	<u>Alternative 1</u> HCT/TDM Emphasis	<u>Alternative 2</u> Mixed Mode with HCT/Transit Emphasis	<u>Alternative 3</u> Mixed Mode Emphasis	<u>Alternative 4</u> General Capacity Emphasis
Two express lanes added in each direction on I-405 ^a					X
Widen SR 167 by one lane each direction to study area boundary			X	X	X
Improved capacity of freeways connecting to I-405			X	X	X
Planned arterial improvements			X	X	X
Complete missing segments of major arterial connecting routes ^b				X	
Expand capacity on north-south arterials ^b					X
Upgrade arterial connections to I-405 ^b			X	X	X
Pedestrian / bicycle connections and crossings of I-405		X	X	X	X
Intelligent transportation system (ITS) improvements		X	X	X	X
Truck freight traffic enhancements		X	X	X	

^a To be studied as general purpose lanes and as managed high-occupancy/toll (HOT) lanes.

^b With jurisdictional approval.



2.1 No Action Alternative

The No Action Alternative includes the funded highway and transit capital improvement projects of cities, counties, Sound Transit, and WSDOT. These projects are already in the pipeline for implementation within the next six years, and are assumed to occur regardless of the outcome of the I-405 Corridor Program. For this reason, they are referred to collectively as the No Action Alternative.

Under the No Action Alternative, only limited expansion of state highways would occur. No expansion of I-405 is included; however, a new southbound I-405 to southbound SR 167 ramp modification would be constructed. Approximately 15 arterial widening and interchange improvement projects would be implemented within the study area by local agencies. Short-term minor construction necessary for continued operation of the existing transportation facilities would be accomplished, and minor safety improvements would be constructed as required.

It is assumed that Phase I of Sound Transit's regional transit plan would be completed. Approximately 36 HOV direct access projects, arterial HOV improvements, park-and-ride expansions, and transit center enhancements would be implemented in the study area as part of the No Action Alternative. Bus transit service levels by the 2020 horizon year are based upon the Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC) Metropolitan Transportation Plan. A 20 percent increase in bus transit service hours above the current King County 6-year plan level is assumed by year 2020. Parking costs are expected to increase due to market forces. Additional urban centers and major employment centers within the study area are also assumed to implement parking charges by 2020.

These baseline transportation improvement projects are, or will be, the subject of separate and independent project-specific environmental analysis, documentation, and review. Their direct impacts are not specifically evaluated by the I-405 Corridor Program. However, the secondary and cumulative impacts of these projects are, addressed as part of the analyses contained herein.


Figure 2.1 shows the locations of the improvements contained in the No Action Alternative. Appendix B (Alternatives Project Matrix) identifies the specific transportation improvements and mobility solutions contained within each system element and alternative.



2.2 Alternative 1: High-Capacity Transit/TDM Emphasis

This alternative attempts to minimize addition of new impervious surface from general purpose transportation improvements and to encourage transit use within the study area. To do this, Alternative 1 emphasizes reliance on a new physically separated fixed-guideway HCT system, substantial expansion of local bus transit service, non-construction mobility solutions such as regional transportation pricing, and transportation demand management (TDM) strategies. It does not include any increase in roadway capacity beyond the No Action Alternative. All improvements contained in the No Action Alternative are included in Alternative 1, as well as in the other action alternatives. Table 2.1 shows the system elements contained in each of the alternatives.

Alternative 1 includes a physically separated, fixed-guideway HCT system, potentially using some form of rail technology and potentially operating within portions of the existing Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) right-of-way. The HCT system would serve the major activity centers within the study area, and would include connections to Redmond and Issaquah and west across Lake Washington to Seattle. The connection across Lake Washington is being evaluated as part of the ongoing Trans-Lake Washington Project EIS. Bus transit service would be doubled compared to the current King County 6-year plan. (The effects of recent transit reductions on short-term transit service have not been assumed.) Arterial HOV priority for transit, additional park-and-ride capacity, and additional transit center improvements also would be provided.



Legend: No Action Alternative Projects

- Transit
- HOV
- Freeways
- Arterials
- Non-motorized
- Study Area Boundary
- County Boundaries
- Highways
- Roadways
- Railways

Note: All projects contained in the No Action Alternative would be implemented as part of each action alternative.

No Action Alternative
Figure No. 2.1

This page intentionally left blank.

A package of basic improvements to I-405 would be implemented, including climbing lanes, auxiliary lanes, I-90/Coal Creek interchange improvements, and I-405/SR 167 interchange improvements, among others. No additional general purpose lanes on I-405 would be provided.

Limited arterial HOV/transit improvements would be provided to facilitate access to I-405 and the fixed-guideway HCT system, along with non-construction treatments such as providing priority for transit at signals and intersections. Regional pricing strategies similar to those currently being studied by the Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC) would be implemented along with a package of core TDM strategies that are common to all the action alternatives.

Figure 2.2 shows the location of improvements contained in Alternative 1. Appendix A (I-405 Corridor Program - Major Elements of Alternatives) describes the system elements that are the building blocks for the alternatives. Appendix B (Alternatives Project Matrix) identifies the specific transportation improvements and mobility solutions contained within each system element and alternative.



2.3 Alternative 2: Mixed Mode with High-Capacity Transit/Transit Emphasis

This alternative attempts to improve mobility options in the study area relative to Alternative 1 by providing the same substantial commitment to transit, combined with the minimum increase in roadway capacity for HOV and general purpose traffic. To do this, Alternative 2 would implement a new physically separated, fixed-guideway HCT system, substantial expansion of local bus transit service, one added lane in each direction on I-405, and improvements to connecting arterials. All improvements contained in the No Action Alternative are included in Alternative 2, as well as in the other action alternatives. Table 2.1 shows the system elements contained in each of the alternatives.

Alternative 2 includes a physically separated, fixed-guideway HCT system, potentially using some form of rail technology. The HCT system would serve the major activity centers within the study area, and would include connections to Redmond and Issaquah and west across Lake Washington to Seattle. The connection across Lake Washington is being evaluated as part of the ongoing Trans-Lake Washington Project EIS. Bus transit service would be doubled compared to the current King County 6-year plan. Arterial HOV priority for transit, additional park-and-ride capacity, and additional transit center improvements are included, as well as completion of the HOV freeway-to-freeway ramps along I-405.

To increase general purpose capacity, I-405 would be widened by one lane in each direction. One lane also would be added in each direction on SR 167 to the study area boundary. The package of basic improvements to I-405 would be implemented, along with the core TDM strategies that are common to all action alternatives. New capacity improvements on connecting arterials and freeways would be provided along with planned arterial improvements of local jurisdictions.

Figure 2.3 shows the location of improvements contained in Alternative 2. Appendix A (I-405 Corridor Program - Major Elements of Alternatives) describes the system elements for the alternatives. Appendix B (Alternatives Project Matrix) identifies the specific

transportation improvements and mobility solutions contained within each system element and alternative.

2.4 Alternative 3: Mixed Mode Emphasis

This alternative attempts to substantially improve mobility options for all travel modes and to provide a HCT system throughout the study area at a lower cost than the physically separated, fixed-guideway system proposed in Alternatives 1 and 2. To do this, Alternative 3 would implement a new bus rapid transit (BRT) system, substantial expansion of local bus transit service, two added lanes in each direction on I-405, and improvements to arterials within the study area. All improvements contained in the No Action Alternative are included in Alternative 3, as well as in the other action alternatives. Table 2.1 shows the system elements contained in each of the alternatives.

Alternative 3 includes a BRT system operating in improved-access HOV lanes on I-405, I-90, and SR 520. The BRT system would serve the major activity centers within the study area, and would include connections to Redmond and Issaquah and west across Lake Washington to Seattle. The connection across Lake Washington is being evaluated as part of the ongoing Trans-Lake Washington Project EIS. Bus transit service would be doubled compared to the current King County 6-year plan. Improved arterial HOV priority for transit, park-and-ride capacity, transit center improvements, and HOV direct access are included, as well as completion of the HOV freeway-to-freeway ramps along I-405.

This alternative would substantially increase capacity for general purpose traffic on I-405 by adding two lanes in each direction and improving major interchanges. These added general purpose lanes replace most of the auxiliary and climbing lanes contained in the package of basic improvements to I-405 that are common to the other action alternatives. One lane would be added in each direction on SR 167 to the study area boundary. The core TDM strategies would be implemented. New capacity improvements on connecting arterials and freeways would be provided. Selected arterial missing links would be completed together with planned arterial improvements of local jurisdictions.

Figure 2.4 shows the location of improvements contained in Alternative 3. Appendix A (I-405 Corridor Program - Major Elements of Alternatives) describes the system elements for the alternatives. Appendix B (Alternatives Project Matrix) identifies the specific transportation improvements and mobility solutions contained within each system element and alternative.

2.5 Alternative 4: General Capacity Emphasis

This alternative places the greatest emphasis on increasing general purpose and HOV roadway capacity, with substantially less reliance on new transit facilities or added local bus service than any of the other action alternatives. To do this, Alternative 4 would provide one additional lane in each direction on I-405, a new four-lane I-405 express roadway, and the other general purpose and HOV roadway improvements on I-405 and connecting freeways contained in Alternative 3. The expansion of local bus transit service would be about half that proposed under the other action alternatives. All improvements contained in


the No Action Alternative are included in Alternative 4, as well as in the other action alternatives. Table 2.1 shows the system elements contained in each of the alternatives.

Alternative 4 would expand freeway capacity by adding one additional general purpose lane in each direction on I-405 in most segments, improving major interchanges, and constructing a new four-lane I-405 express roadway consisting of two lanes in each direction with limited access points. Completion of the HOV freeway-to-freeway ramps along I-405 and the package of basic improvements to I-405 would be implemented.

Arterial improvements would include additional expansion of major arterial routes and connections to I-405 in conjunction with the planned arterial improvements of local jurisdictions. Transit in this alternative is assumed to be a continuation of the existing local and express bus transit system with a 50 percent increase in service compared to the current King County 6-year plan. Park-and-ride capacity would be provided along with the core TDM strategies that are common to all action alternatives.


Figure 2.5 shows the location of improvements contained in Alternative 4. Appendix A (I-405 Corridor Program - Major Elements of Alternatives) describes the system elements for the alternatives. Appendix B (Alternatives Project Matrix) identifies the specific transportation improvements and mobility solutions contained within each system element and alternative.

This page intentionally left blank.




Alternative 1: High Capacity Transit/Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Emphasis
Figure No. 2.2

This page intentionally left blank.




Alternative 2: Mixed Mode with High Capacity Transit Emphasis
Figure No. 2.3

This page intentionally left blank.



Alternative 3: Mixed Mode Emphasis
Figure No. 2.4

This page intentionally left blank.



Alternative 4: General Capacity Emphasis
Figure No. 2.5

This page intentionally left blank.

3. METHODOLOGY AND COORDINATION

3.1 Evaluation Criteria

This analysis evaluated only public parks and trails. Park size, in acres, was compared with the estimated amount of land that would need to be taken for the new project right-of-way. Because the analysis was conducted at a programmatic level and the specific locations of property acquisitions have not been identified; extensive analysis of park functions that might be lost or displaced could not be assessed at this time.

The public parks and trails that were specifically created through Section 6(f) funds were not specifically identified and would need to be identified at the project-specific level. Because the impact to any park would require either mitigation or replacement, the need for Section 6(f) identification was not completed at this point. It should be noted that the *I-405 Corridor Program Draft Public Services Expertise Report* (DEA, 2001) indicated that no school facilities, such as schoolyard recreation areas, are impacted by any of these alternatives.

The public parks and trails that were reviewed ranged from state, county, city open space, neighborhood parks, trails, recreational active parks, passive parks, and sports fields.

3.2 Approach to Analyses

The locations of public parks and trails were compiled onto one set of 1"=1,600' maps. Project design concepts plans were then overlain onto the parkland GIS resources map. Projects were identified as having parkland impacts when any portion of the project overlapped the parkland boundary. The potential impacts of projects on parklands were determined by the most quantifiable method available through the existing data. The linear distance at which projects and parklands overlapped was multiplied by the depth by which projects expanded into parklands. This approach provides a conservative estimate of parkland impacts, limited as a result of available design detail. As noted previously, impacts on park functions were not evaluated.

In general, potential parkland acreage estimates are commensurate with the level of design concepts available. In many circumstances, design information for High-Capacity Transit (HCT) elements was less detailed than design information for roads and/or road widening. This disparity in the level of detail available led to shifts in the level of precision presented in parkland impacts. For instance, along HCT alignments, the level of detail available allowed for estimates to the nearest acre, while engineering design for a turn lane added to an existing road may have allowed for impact estimates as precise as 0.1 acre.

The site-specific information of the public parks and trails was obtained from local comprehensive plans, park plans and site visits. The comprehensive plan for the potentially impacted public parks and trails were consulted to determine if any planned future expansions would increase the level of impact.



3.3 Coordination with Agencies and Jurisdictions

In addition to review of the GIS and jurisdictions park maps (when available), individual jurisdictions' comprehensive plans were examined in order to gain an understanding of the local plans and policies for recreational facilities.



3.4 Plans, Policies, and Approvals

Section 4(f) - A rule and policy of the Department of Transportation Act of 1966 provides that the Federal Highway Administration will not approve a transportation improvement that uses land from a substantial publicly owned park, recreation area, wildlife or waterfowl refuge, or any historic site of local, state, or national significance unless there is no feasible and prudent alternative to the use of land from the Section 4(f) property, and the proposed action includes all possible planning to minimize harm to the property resulting from such use. Section 4(f) does not apply to privately owned facilities, except for historic resources, even if such facilities are open to the public.

Section 6(f) - A rule and policy of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 provides that property acquired or developed with Land and Water Conservation Funds, shall not be converted to purposes other than public outdoor recreation without prior approval of the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation and the National Park Service. It must be demonstrated that such conversions are in accord with the existing State Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan and that substitution of recreation properties of at least equal fair market value and of reasonably equivalent usefulness and location is assured. Similar approvals are required for any property acquired or developed with funds from the Washington State Outdoor Recreation Account created under the Marine Recreation Land Act of 1964.

The local jurisdictions in the I-405 study area have adopted park elements in their comprehensive plans, which define the level of service for park facilities, the policies for development and protection of the parks. These policies would be instrumental in any type of mitigation measure/plan for public parklands that would be taken due to substantial impacts. (Property acquisitions, traffic detours, limited access, noise, dust/air quality impacts).

4. AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT

4.1 Public Parks and Trails

There are 405 parks, open spaces, trails and sports facilities within the project study area. 182 of these facilities are within one-quarter mile of the proposed I-405 projects. There are several different types of parks and trails in the study area. Figure 4.1 identifies the location of these features. Parks are frequently classified in terms of the geographic area of attraction of their user group as regional, sub-regional, and neighborhood parks.

4.2 Regional Parks and Trails

Regional Parks are defined as a park that is used by citizens from many jurisdictions. These parks are also of such environmental significance and size, that they provide important natural features. The most recognizable regional parks are:

- Gene Coulon Park – Renton
- Coal Creek Park – Newcastle
- Sammamish River Trail – Redmond, Woodinville, Bothell, & King County
- Marymoor Park - Redmond
- May Creek Park – Newcastle & Renton

4.3 Sub-Regional Parks

A sub-regional park is focused on serving the primary needs of local jurisdictions, while still serving many users outside of the local jurisdiction. A sub-regional park may be an element of a regional trail, a sports facility or large park. Examples in the study area are:


- Mercer Slough Park – Bellevue
- Cedar River Park – Renton
- Interurban Trail – Renton & Tukwila
- Kenmore Park – King County

4.4 Neighborhood/Passive Parks

A passive park, generally considered a neighborhood park, is considered a recreational and social element for various neighborhoods. Passive Parks are generally used for limited recreational facilities, open space needs, surface water facilities, and sometimes as buffers between the natural and built environments. Most passive parks are small tracts with limited access, and do not necessarily encourage extensive use; however, their importance to the local community should not be underestimated in detailed analysis. Examples in the study area are:

- Spinney Homestead Park – Kirkland

- Welcome Park – Renton
- Bicentennial Park – Tukwila
- Lake Street Park – Renton
- Arthur Johnson Park – Redmond
- Lower Talbot Hill Park – Renton
- Watershed Park – Kirkland
- North Rose Hill Woodlands Park - Kirkland
- Forbes Lake Park – Kirkland
- Bear Creek Park – Redmond



Existing Parklands within the Study Area
Figure No. 4.1

This page intentionally left blank.

5. IMPACT ANALYSIS

5.1 No Action Alternative

Under the No Action Alternative, which includes 54 projects, there are 3 public parks and trails with approximately 6.8 acres (see Table 5.1) of potentially impacted land. Figure 5.1 shows the location of the No Action Alternative projects with respect to public parks and trails in the study area.

Table 5.1: Public Parks and Trails Potentially Impacted by the No Action Alternative

#	Jurisdiction	Actions	Project Description	Public Parks and Trails Analysis
19.	Interchange Improvements (Matched to fit I-405 Improvements)			
	Bellevue	R.IC-6	Coal Creek Parkway I-405 to Factoria Blvd.	Coal Creek Park Type: Regional, Open Space Jurisdiction: King County Size: 29.8 acres Area Impacted: 0.5 acres
7.	Committed HOV Projects			
	Redmond	R.HOV-62	SR 522- Campus Access	Sammamish River Trail Type: Regional, Trail Jurisdiction: King County Size: 144.25 acres Area Impacted: 2.0 acres
17.	Arterial Committed Projects			
	Kirkland	R-28	W.Lake Sammamish Parkway - Widen to 4/5 lanes. (Leary Way to Bel-Red)	Marymoor Park Type: Regional, Open Space Jurisdiction: King County Size: 560 acres Area Impacted: 2.9 acres
	Redmond	R.47	NE 124 th (Willows Rd to SR-502) – Widen to 4/5 lanes.	Sammamish River Trail Type: Regional, Trail Jurisdiction: King County Size: 144.25 acres Area Impacted: 1.4 acres

5.1.1 Construction Impacts

Construction effects (impacts) would be related to potential property acquisitions within three regional parks, equaling approximately 7 acres. The most extensive acquisition areas could be in Coal Creek Park. The impact to the Sammamish River Trail and Marymoor Park would be limited to trail detours during expansion of an existing structure.

Construction effects to the public parks and trails range from temporary erosion/sedimentation to dust, noise, and temporary access issues. These temporary effects are related to the construction vehicles, potential interim traffic detours, and general construction activity. Best management practices (BMPs) would reduce the impact of dust and sediment.

Using Coal Creek as an example, the impact of the acquisition appears to be limited to less than 0.5 acre on the north end of the park. If there is full utilization of the ROW, outright avoidance may be possible. Any replacement or enhancement of the remaining park functions would need to be evaluated in project-specific analysis. The regional park/trail functions include trails, water access, recreational facilities for bicycles and pedestrians, group functions, and interpretive nature points.

5.1.2 Operational Impacts

The potential operational effects of the No Action Alternative would be increased noise and vehicular traffic to three regional parks. The noise effects could affect users' enjoyment of park amenities. Additionally, with increased potential accessibility to the recreation resources on a regional and local level, some of the park facilities may experience additional demands on parking and overall interior circulation.


Best management practices (BMPs) would reduce the impact of dust and sediment.

5.1.3 Secondary Impacts

Secondary impacts are reasonably foreseeable effects of an action that occur later in time or are further removed in distance from the direct effects of the proposal. Generally, these effects are induced by the initial programmatic action. Programmatic secondary impacts are expected to be limited and unlikely for the I-405 Corridor Program for several reasons:

- > All of the I-405 Corridor Program action alternatives are generally compatible with existing regional and local land use plans that have already addressed growth.
- > A similar level of projected growth is expected to occur in the region, with or without the action alternatives.
- > Transportation projects, similar to I-405, are frequently built in response to population and/or employment growth.
- > The I-405 Corridor Program study area is experiencing a high rate of population growth and land development that is increasing travel demand and congestion.

Secondary effects may be more detectable during project-level environmental analysis. Therefore, the potential for secondary effects will be analyzed in the future project-level environmental analysis, documentation, and review.



Parklands with No Action Alternative Projects
Figure No. 5.1

This page intentionally left blank.

5.1.4 Mitigation Measures

The mitigation measures would be defined in a detailed Section 4(f) evaluation. The baseline mitigation measures need to address substantial impacts through temporary erosion/sedimentation control, water quality measures, replacement (or enhancement of functions) of parkland/trail due to acquisitions, protection of notable trees, project design to reduce the area of impacts, realignments and potential replacement of affected trails. Additionally, a defined phasing/timing program to lessen the impacts to the park functions would be required.

■ 5.2 Alternative 1: High-Capacity Transit/TDM

Alternative 1 has 110 projects, including a physically separated, fixed-guideway HCT system, and baseline improvements to I-405 with climbing lanes and auxiliary lanes. There are 9 public parks and trails impacted by acquisitions, equaling 6.8 acres (see Table 5.2). All impacts are in addition to the baseline impacts associated with the No Action Alternative. Figure 5.2 shows the location of the Alternative 1 projects with respect to public parks and trails in the study area.

5.2.1 Construction Impacts

Construction effects are property acquisitions within nine regional neighborhood parks and open space parks equaling approximately 7 acres. The largest acquisition areas are within the Mercer Slough (impacted twice) and Coal Creek Park resulting from the I-90/I-405 widening and HOV improvements on Coal Creek Parkway.

Potential construction effects to the public parks and trails include erosion, dust, noise, temporary access issues, and removal of established vegetation. These temporary effects are related to the construction vehicles, potential interim traffic detours, and general construction activity.

Best management practices (BMPs) can reduce the impact of dust and sediment.

The property acquisition may constitute an important impact on the parks, and replacement or enhancement of the remaining park functions would need to be evaluated in project-specific evaluations. The regional and sub-regional park functions include water access, recreational facilities for bicycles, pedestrian access, group functions, and nature interpretation. The neighborhood park functions are passive and provide visual/aesthetic areas for a walk and/or small group functions. The neighborhood/passive parks typically include some recreational facilities for limited group sports and a play area for children. Any mitigation should address the continued operations of such functions, with minimal disruption.

5.2.2 Operational Impacts

The potential operational effects of Alternative 1 would be the similar to the No Action Alternative, except that nine parks would be impacted.


The regional and sub-regional park functions provide water access, recreational facilities for bicycles, pedestrian access, group functions, and nature interpretation. The neighborhood park functions are passive and provide visual/aesthetic areas for a walk and/or small group functions. The neighborhood/passive parks typically include some recreational facilities for limited group sports and play area for children. Any mitigation should to address the continued operations of such functions, with minimal disruption.

5.2.3 Secondary Impacts

See Section 5.1.3 of this report.

5.2.4 Mitigation Measures

The mitigation measures would be defined in a detailed Section 4(f) evaluation. The baseline mitigation measures need to address reduction of substantial impacts through temporary erosion/sedimentation control, water quality measures, replacement (or enhancement of functions) of parkland/trail due to acquisitions, protection of notable trees, project design to reduce the area of impacts, realignments and potential replacement of affected trails. Additionally, a defined phasing/timing program to lessen the impacts to the park functions would be required.



Parklands with Alternative One Projects
Figure No. 5.2

This page intentionally left blank.

Table 5.2: Public Parks and Trails Potentially Impacted by Alternative 1

#	Jurisdiction	Actions	Project Description	Public Parks and trails Analysis
10.	Basic I-405 Improvement Projects			
	Kirkland	R.BI.3	SB Auxiliary Lane NE 124 th to NE 85 th	Spinney Homestead Park Type: Neighborhood, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Kirkland Size: 6.5 acres Area Impacted: 0.1 acres
	Bellevue	R.BI.8	I-90 to Bellevue SB HOV direct connection to I-90 west	Mercer Slough Park Type: Sub-Regional, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Bellevue Size: 140 acres Potential Area Impacted: 3.8 acres
6.	Arterial HOV			
	Bellevue	R.HOV-36	Coal Creek Parkway from I-405 to Forest Drive.	Coal Creek Park Type: Regional, Open Space Jurisdiction: King County Size: 29.8 acres Area Impacted: 1.5 acres
	Redmond	R.HOV-38	NE 85 th St from Kirkland Way to 148 th Ave NE.	Welcome Park Type: Neighborhood, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Redmond Size: 2.17 acres Area Impacted: 0.1 acres
	Renton	R.HOV-43+R.IC.4	SR 169 from SR-405 to Riverview Park	Cedar River Park Type: Sub-Regional, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Renton Size: 23.07 acres Area Impacted: 0.7 acres
	King County (Kenmore)	RHOV 53 & R.PA 11	68 th AVE NE Simonds Road to SR 522) NB HOV LANE.	Kenmore Park Type: Sub-Regional, Open Space Jurisdiction: King County Size: 13 acres Area Impacted: 0.5 acres
	Bellevue	R.HOV-60	Bellevue Way – I-90 to South Bellevue Park-and-Ride Facility	Mercer Slough Park Type: Sub-Regional, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Bellevue Size: 140 acres Potential Area Impacted: 0.03 acres
4.	High-Capacity Transit			
	Renton	T.HCT-1	HCT – SeaTac to Renton CBD	Bicentennial Park Type: Neighborhood, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Tukwila Size: 1 acre Area Impacted: 0.02 acres
21.	I-405 Crossings (Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities)			
	Bothell	NM. CR-7	Connection between Sammamish River Trail and North Creek Trail – between SR-522 and NE 195 th St. - Add pedestrian/bike over crossing of I-405	Sammamish River Trail Type: Regional, Trail Jurisdiction: King County Size: 144.25 acres Area Impacted: 0.01 acres
21.	Pedestrian/Bicycle Connections			
	Renton	NM.P&B-17	I-405/SR-167 trail connection – Lind Ave. SE to Talbot Rd S. – Add trail connection	Lake Street Park Type: Neighborhood, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Renton Size: 0.5 acre Area Impacted: 0.01 acres

5.3 Alternative 2: Mixed Mode with High-Capacity Transit/ Transit Emphasis

Alternative 2 includes 162 projects ranging from basic improvements to I-405 to High-Capacity Transit (HCT) improvements. There are 15 Public parks and trails impacted by acquisitions equaling approximately 12.2 acres (see Table 5.3). All impacts are in addition to the baseline impacts associated with the No Action Alternative. Figure 5.3 shows the location of the Alternative 2 projects with respect to public parks and trails in the study area.

Table 5.3: Public Parks and trails Potentially Impacted by Alternative 2


#	Jurisdiction	Actions	Project Description	Public Parks and trails Analysis
10.	Basic I-405 Improvement Projects			
	Kirkland	R.BI.3	SB Auxiliary Lane NE 124 th to NE 85 th	Spinney Homestead Park Type: Neighborhood, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Kirkland Size: 6.5 acres Area Impacted: 0.1 acre
	Bellevue	R.BI.8	I-90 to Bellevue SB HOV direct connection to I-90 west	Mercer Slough Park Type: Sub-Regional, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Bellevue Size: 140 acres Potential Area Impacted: 3.8 acres
10A.	One Additional GP or Aux. Lane in Each Direction			
	Renton	R.TC-10	1GP N/S – SR 167 to SR-900	Lower Talbot Hill Park Type: Neighborhood, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Renton Size: 2.5 acres Area Impacted: 0.7 acre
	Renton/ Newcastle	R.TC-11	1 GP E/W – SR 900 North Renton to SR 90	May Creek Park Type: Regional, Open Space Jurisdiction: Cities of Renton/Newcastle Size: 10 acres Area Impacted: 0.9 acre
	Kirkland	R.TC.13	1 GP each direction – SR 520 to NE 70 th	Watershed Park Type: Neighborhood, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Kirkland Size: 66 acres Area Impacted: 1.3 acres
19.	Arterial Interchange Improvements (Matched to fit I-405 Improvements)			
	Tukwila	R-IC.3 & R.AC-36	SR –181 West Valley Highway/Interurban	Interurban Trail Type: Sub-Regional, Trail Jurisdiction: City of Tukwila Size: 17 acres Area Impacted: 0.02 acre

#	Jurisdiction	Actions	Project Description	Public Parks and trails Analysis
	Renton	R.IC-4 & R.HOV .43	SR –169 Maple Valley Highway SR 900 to NE 5 th	Cedar River Park Type: Sub-Regional, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Renton Size: 23.07 acres Area Impacted: 0.7 acre
6.	Arterial HOV			
	Bellevue	R.HOV-36	Coal Creek Parkway from I-405 to Forest Drive.	Coal Creek Park Type: Regional, Open Space Jurisdiction: King County Size: 29.8 acres Area Impacted: 1.5 acres
	Redmond	R.HOV-38	NE 85 th St From Kirkland Way to 148 th Ave NE.	Welcome Park Type: Neighborhood, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Redmond Size: 2.17 acres Area Impacted 0.1 acre
	Renton	R.HOV-43+R.IC.4	SR 169 from SR-405 to Riverview Park	Cedar River Park Type: Sub-Regional, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Renton Size: 23.07 acres Area Impacted: 0.7 acre
	King County	RHOV 53 && R.PA 11	68 th AVE NE Simonds Road to SR 522) NB HOV LANE.	Kenmore Park Type: Sub-Regional, Open Space Jurisdiction: King County Size: 13 acres Area Impacted: 0.5 acre
	Bellevue	R.HOV-60	Bellevue Way – I-90 to South Bellevue Park-and-Ride Facility	Mercer Slough Park Type: Sub-Regional, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Bellevue Size: 140 acres Potential Area Impacted: 0.03 acre
4.	High-Capacity Transit			
	Renton	T.HCT-1	HCT – SeaTac to Renton CBD	Bicentennial Park Type: Neighborhood, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Tukwila Size: 1.0 acre Area Impacted: 0.02 acre
	Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities (P&B)			
21.	I-405 Crossings			
	Bothell	NM. CR-7	Connection between Sammamish River Trail and North Creek Trail – between SR-522 and NE 195 th St. - Add pedestrian/bike crossing of I-405	Sammamish River Trail Type: Regional, Trail Jurisdiction: King County Size: 144.25 acres Area Impacted: 0.01 acre
21.	Pedestrian/Bicycle Connections			
	Renton	NM.P&B-17	I-405/SR-167 trail connection – Lind Ave. SE to Talbot Rd S. – Add trail connection	Lake Street Park Type: Neighborhood, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Renton Size: 0.5 acre Area Impacted: 0.1 acre

#	Jurisdiction	Actions	Project Description	Public Parks and trails Analysis
17.	Planned Arterial Projects			
	Kirkland	R.PA-12	124 th AVE NE (NE 85 St. to Slater Rd NE) Widen to 3 lanes S. of NE 116 th St, 5 lanes no. of NE 116 th St)	North Rose Hill Woodlands Park /Forbes Lake Park Type: Neighborhood, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Kirkland Size: 13 acres Area Impacted: 0.3 acre
	Kirkland	R.PA-14	NE 100 th Street (100 Ave NE to 166 Way NE) – Widen to 3 lanes + CGS, Bike Lane.	Spinney Homestead Park Type: Neighborhood, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Kirkland Size: 6.5 acres Area Impacted: 0.05 acre
	Redmond	R.PA-18	Union Hill Rd (Avondale Rd to 196 Ave NE)--- Widen to 4/5 lanes with bike facilities (ETP R-27)	Bear Creek Park / Arthur Johnson Park Type: Neighborhood, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Redmond Size: 15 acres Area Impacted: 0.7 acre
	WSDOT	R.PA-28& R.AC-17	SR 202/140 th PL NE (NE 124 th to NE 175 th St) Widen 4/5 lanes (RAC17/18)	Sammamish River Trail Type: Regional, Trail Jurisdiction: King County Size: 144.25 acres Area Impacted: 0.8 acre

5.3.1 Construction Impacts

Construction effects include the property acquisitions within 15 regional, sub-regional, neighborhood/passive, and open space parks. The total impact is approximately 12 acres. The most extensive acquisition area affects the Mercer Slough Park (4 acres), resulting from the HOV project between I-90 to I-405. Additionally there are other park lands acquisitions of 1.2 acres and 1.7 acres, impacting Coal Creek Park and Watershed Park, respectively.



Legend: Parklands with Alternative Two Projects

← Transit	--- Study Area Boundary
● HOV	--- County Boundaries
● Freeways	--- Highways
● Arterials	--- Roadways
--- Non-motorized	--- Railways
■ Parklands	

Note: All projects contained in the No Action Alternative (not indicated in Figure) would be implemented as part of each action alternative.

Parklands with Alternative Two Projects
Figure No. 5.3

This page intentionally left blank.

Construction effects to the public parks and trails may include erosion, dust, noise, and temporary access issues. Dust and noise would be related to the construction vehicles, potential interim traffic detours, and general construction activity. BMPs would reduce the impact of dust and sediment.

The property acquisition may constitute an important impact on the parks, and replacement or enhancement of the remaining park functions would need to be evaluated in project-specific evaluations. The regional and sub-regional park functions include water access, recreational facilities for bicycles, pedestrian access, group functions, and nature interpretation. The neighborhood park functions are passive and provide visual/aesthetic areas for a walk and/or small group functions. The neighborhood/passive parks typically include some recreational facilities for limited group sports and a play area for children. Any mitigation should address the continued operations of such functions with minimal disruption.

5.3.2 Operational Impacts

The potential operational effects of Alternative 2 would be the same as the No Action Alternative, except that 15 parks would be impacted

The regional and sub-regional park functions include water access, recreational facilities for bicycles, pedestrian access, group functions, and nature interpretation. The neighborhood park functions are passive and provide visual/aesthetic areas for a walk and/or small group functions. The neighborhood/passive parks typically include some recreational facilities for limited group sports and play area for children. Any mitigation should address the continued operations of such functions, with minimal disruption.

5.3.3 Secondary Impacts

See Section 5.1.3 of this report.

5.3.4 Mitigation Measures

The mitigation measures would be defined in a detailed Section 4(f) evaluation. The baseline mitigation measures need to address substantial impacts through temporary erosion/sedimentation control, water quality measures, replacement (or enhancement of functions) of parkland/trail due to acquisitions, protection of notable trees, project design to reduce the area of impacts, realignments and potential replacement of affected trails. Additionally, a defined phasing/timing program to lessen the impacts to the park functions would be required.

5.4 Alternative 3: Mixed Mode

The Mixed Mode Alternative includes 137 projects, ranging from basic improvements to I-405 to high capacity. There are 15 public parks and trails with property acquisitions, equally approximately 13.1 acres (Table 5.4). All impacts are in addition to the baseline impacts associated with the No Action Alternative. Figure 5.4 shows the location of the Alternative 3 projects with respect to public parks and trails in the study area.

Table 5.4: Public Parks and Trails Potentially Impacted by Alternative 3

#	Jurisdiction	Actions	Project Description	Public Parks and trails Analysis
10.	Basic I-405 Improvement Projects			
	Kirkland	R.BI.3	SB Auxiliary Lane NE 124 th to NE 85 th	Spinney Homestead Park Type: Neighborhood, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Kirkland Size: 6.5 acres Area Impacted: 0.1 acre
	Bellevue	R.BI.8	I-90 to Bellevue SB HOV direct connection to I-90 west	Mercer Slough Park Type: Sub-Regional, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Bellevue Size: 140 acres Potential Area Impacted: 3.8 acres
11.	Two Additional GP Lanes in Each Direction			
	Renton	R.TC-2	2 additional GP lanes in each direction - SR 167 to SR 900/North Renton Interchange	Lower Talbot Hill Park Type: Neighborhood, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Renton Size: 2.5 acres Area Impacted: 0.9 acre
	Renton/ Newcastle	R.TC-3	2 additional GP lanes in each direction - SR 900/ North Renton Interchange to SR 900	May Creek Park Type: Regional, Open Space Jurisdiction: Cities of Renton/Newcastle Size: 10 acres Area Impacted: 1.2 acres
	Bellevue/ Kirkland	R.TC.5	2 additional GP lanes in each direction - SR 520 to NE 70 th	Watershed Park Type: Neighborhood, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Kirkland Size: 66 acres Area Impacted: 1.7 acres
18.	Arterial Capacity (AC) Actions			
	Woodinville	R.AC-17 & R.PA-28	SR 202 NE 145 th St. to SR 522-widen to 5 lanes.	Sammamish River Trail Type: Regional, Trail Jurisdiction: King County Size: 144.25 acres Area Impacted: 0.8 acre


#	Jurisdiction	Actions	Project Description	Public Parks and trails Analysis
19.	Arterial Interchange Improvements (Matched to fit I-405 Improvements)			
	Tukwila	R-IC.3 & R.AC-36	SR –181 West Valley Highway/ Interurban	Interurban Trail Type: Sub-Regional, Trail Jurisdiction: City of Tukwila Size: 17 acres Area Impacted: 0.02 acre
	Renton	R.IC-4	SR –169 Maple Valley Highway SR 900 to NE 5 th	Cedar River Park Type: Sub-Regional, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Renton Size: 23.07 acres Area Impacted: 0.7 acre
6.	Arterial HOV			
	Bellevue	R.HOV-36	Coal Creek Parkway from I-405 to Forest Drive.	Coal Creek Park Type: Regional, Open Space Jurisdiction: King County Size: 29.8 acres Area Impacted: 1.5 acres
	Redmond	R.HOV-38	NE 85 th St From Kirkland Way to 148 th Ave NE.	Welcome Park Type: Neighborhood, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Redmond Size: 2.17 acres Area Impacted 0.1 acre
	Renton	R.HOV-43+R.IC.4	SR 169 from SR-405 to Riverview Park	Cedar River Park Type: Sub-Regional, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Renton Size: 23.07 acres Area Impacted: 0.7 acre
	King County	RHOV 53 & R.PA 11	68 th AVE NE Simonds Road to SR 522) NB HOV LANE.	Kenmore Park Type: Sub-Regional, Open Space Jurisdiction: King County Size: 13 acres Area Impacted: 0.5 acre
	Bellevue	R.HOV-60	Bellevue Way – I-90 to South Bellevue Park-and-Ride Facility	Mercer Slough Park Type: Sub-Regional, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Bellevue Size: 140 acres Potential Area Impacted: 0.03 acre
4.	High-Capacity Transit			
	Tukwila/ Renton	T.HCT-1	HCT – SeaTac to Renton CBD	Bicentennial Park Type: Neighborhood, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Tukwila Size: 1 acre Area Impacted: 0.02 acre
	Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities (P&B)			
21.	I-405 Crossings			
	Bothell	NM. CR-7	Connection between Sammamish River Trail and North Creek Trail – between SR-522 and NE 195 th St. - Add pedestrian/bike over crossing of I-405	Sammamish River Trail Type: Regional, Trail Jurisdiction: King County Size: 144.25 acres Area Impacted: 0.01 acre

#	Jurisdiction	Actions	Project Description	Public Parks and trails Analysis
21.	Pedestrian/Bicycle Connections			
	Renton	NM.P&B-17	I-405/SR-167 trail connection – Lind Ave. SE to Talbot Rd S. – Add trail connection	Lake Street Park Type: Neighborhood, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Renton Size: 0.5 acre Area Impacted: 0.1 acre
17.	Planned Arterial Projects			
	Kirkland	R.PA-12	124 th AVE NE (NE 85 St. to Slater Rd NE) Widen to 3 lanes S. of NE 116 th St, 5 lanes no. of NE 116 th St)	North Rose Hill Woodlands Park / Forbes Lake Park Type: Neighborhood, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Kirkland Size: 13 acres Area Impacted: 0.3 acre
	Kirkland	R.PA-14	NE 100 th Street (100 Ave NE to 166 Way NE) – Widen to 3 lanes + CGS, Bike Lane.	Spinney Homestead Park Type: Neighborhood, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Kirkland Size: 6.5 acres Area Impacted: 0.05 acre
	Redmond	R.PA-18	Union Hill Rd (Avondale Rd to 196 Ave NE)--- Widen to 4/5 lanes with bike facilities (ETP R-27)	Bear Creek Park / Arthur Johnson Park Type: Neighborhood, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Redmond Size: 15 acres Area Impacted: 0.7 acre

5.4.1 Construction Impacts

Construction effects include the property acquisitions within 16 regional, sub-regional, passive and open space parks, equaling approximately 12 acres. The largest acquisition is Mercer Slough Park (4 acres), resulting from the HOV project between I-90 and I-405. Additionally there is other potential property acquisitions that impact May Creek Park, Watershed Park, and Coal Creek Park. These may be avoided by shifting the improvements within the corridor ROW.

Construction effects to the public parks and trails range from erosion, dust, noise, and temporary access issues. Dust and noise would be related to the construction vehicles, potential interim traffic detours, and general construction activity. BMPs would reduce the impact of dust and sediment.



Legend: Parklands with Alternative Three Projects

- | | |
|---------------|---------------------|
| Transit | Study Area Boundary |
| HOV | County Boundaries |
| Freeways | Highways |
| Arterials | Roadways |
| Non-motorized | Railways |
| Parklands | |
- 1 0 1 2 3 Miles
- N

Note: All projects contained in the No Action Alternative (not indicated in Figure) would be implemented as part of each action alternative.

Parkland with Alternative Three Projects
Figure No. 5.4

This page intentionally left blank.

The property acquisition may constitute an important impact on the parks, and replacement or enhancement of the remaining park functions would need to be evaluated in project-specific evaluations. The regional and sub-regional park functions include water access, recreational facilities for bicycles, pedestrian access, group functions, and nature interpretation. The neighborhood park functions are passive and provide visual/aesthetic areas for a walk and/or small group functions. The neighborhood/passive parks typically include some recreational facilities for limited group sports and a play area for children. Any mitigation should address the continued operations of such functions, with minimal disruption.

5.4.2 Operational Impacts

The potential operational effects of Alternative 3 would be the same as the No Action Alternative, except that 16 parks would be impacted.

The regional and sub-regional park functions include water access, recreational facilities for bicycles, pedestrian access, group functions, and nature interpretation. The neighborhood park functions are passive and provide visual/aesthetic areas for a walk and/or small group functions. The neighborhood/passive parks typically include some recreational facilities for limited group sports and play area for children. Any mitigation should address the continued operations of such functions, with minimal disruption.

5.4.3 Secondary Impacts

See Section 5.1.3 of this report.

5.4.4 Mitigation Measures

The mitigation measures would be defined in a detailed Section 4(f) evaluation. The baseline mitigation measures need to address substantial impacts through temporary erosion/sedimentation control, water quality measures, replacement (or enhancement of functions) of parkland/trail due to acquisitions, protection of notable trees, project design to reduce the area of impacts, realignments and potential replacement of affected trails. Additionally, a defined phasing/timing program to lessen the impacts to the park functions would be required.

5.5 Alternative 4: Roadway Capacity Emphasis

Alternative 4 includes 116 projects ranging from basic improvements to I-405 and the addition of express lanes major interchanges on I-405 to high-capacity transit and a number of arterial projects. There are 11 public parks and trails with property acquisitions, equaling approximately 13.7 acres (see Table 5.5). All impacts are in addition to the baseline impacts associated with the No Action Alternative. Figure 5.5 shows the location of the Alternative 4 projects with respect to public parks and trails in the study area.

Table 5.5: Public Parks and Trails Potentially Impacted by Alternative 4

	Jurisdiction	Actions	Project Description	Public Parks and trails Analysis
10.	Basic I-405 Improvement Projects			
	Kirkland	R.BI.3	SB Auxiliary Lane NE 124 th to NE 85 th	Spinney Homestead Park Type: Neighborhood, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Kirkland Size: 6.5 acres Area Impacted: 0.1 acre
	Bellevue	R.BI.8	I-90 to Bellevue SB HOV direct connection to I-90 west	Mercer Slough Park Type: Sub-Regional, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Bellevue Size: 140 acres Potential Area Impacted: 3.8 acres
13.	Express Lanes – 2 Lanes Each Direction Between Major Interchanges			
	Renton	R.TC-21	Add Express Lanes – SR 167 to SR 900 North Renton	Lower Talbot Hill Park Type: Neighborhood, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Renton Size: 2.5 acres Area Impacted: 0.9 acre
	Renton/ Newcastle	R.TC-11	Add Express Lanes – SR 900 North Renton Interchange to SR 90	May Creek Park Type: Sub-Regional, Open Space Jurisdiction: Cities of Renton/Newcastle Size: 10 acres Area Impacted: 1.2 acres
	Bellevue/ Kirkland	R.TC-24	Add Express Lanes – SR 520 to NE 70 th	Watershed Park Type: Neighborhood, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Kirkland Size: 66 acres Area Impacted: 1.7 acres
10A	One Additional GP or Aux. Lane in Each Direction			
	Renton	R.TC-10	One Additional GP each direction. – SR 167 to SR 900/North Renton Interchange	Lower Talbot Hill Park Type: Neighborhood, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Renton Size: 2.5 acres Area Impacted: 0.7 acre
	Renton/ Newcastle/ Bellevue	R.TC-11	One Additional GP lane in each direction – SR 900/North Renton Interchange to SR 90	May Creek Park Type: Sub-Regional, Open Space Jurisdiction: Cities of Renton/Newcastle Size: 10 acres Area Impacted: 0.9 acre
	Bellevue/ Kirkland	R.TC.13	One Additional GP lane each direction. (SR 520 to NE 70 th)	Watershed Park Type: Neighborhood, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Kirkland Size: 66 acres Area Impacted: 1.3 acres

	Jurisdiction	Actions	Project Description	Public Parks and trails Analysis
18.	Arterial Capacity (AC) Actions			
	Woodinville	R.AC-17 & R.PA-28	SR 202 NE 145 th St. to SR 522- widen to 5 lanes.	Sammamish River Trail Type: Regional, Trail Jurisdiction: King County Size: 144.25 acres Area Impacted: 0.9 acre
	Redmond/ King County/ Woodinville	R.AC-18 & R.PA-28	SR 202- - NE 90 th to NE 145 th	Sammamish River Trail Type: Regional, Trail Jurisdiction: King County Size: 144.25 acres Area Impacted: 0.9 acre
	Tukwila	R.AC-36 & R.IC-3	SR 181 to Strander Blvd.	Interurban Trail Type: Sub-Regional, Trail Jurisdiction: City of Tukwila Size: 17 acres Area Impacted: 0.02 acre
19.	Arterial Interchange Improvements (Matched to fit I-405 Improvements)			
	Tukwila	R-IC.3 & R.AC-36	SR –181 West Valley Highway/Interurban	Interurban Trail Type: Sub-Regional, Trail Jurisdiction: City of Tukwila Size: 17 acres Area Impacted: 0.02 acre
	Renton	R.IC-4	SR –169 Maple Valley Highway SR 900 to NE 5 th	Cedar River Park Type: Sub-Regional, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Renton Size: 23.07 acres Area Impacted: 0.7 acre
	Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities (P&B)			
21.	I-405 Crossings			
	Bothell	NM. CR-7	Connection between Sammamish River Trail and North Creek Trail – between SR-522 and NE 195 th St. - Add pedestrian/bike over crossing of I-405	Sammamish River Trail Type: Regional, Trail Jurisdiction: King County Size: 144.25 acres Area Impacted: 0.01 acre
17.	Planned Arterial Projects			
	King County	R.PA.11 & R.HOV .53	68 th AVE NE (Simonds Rd to 522) Construct NB HOV Lane total of 5/6 Lanes	Kenmore Park Type: Regional, Open Space Jurisdiction: King County Size: 13 acres Area Impacted: 0.5 acre
	Kirkland	R.PA-12	124 th AVE NE (NE 85 St. to Slater Rd NE) Widen to 3 lanes	North Rose Hill Woodlands Park / Forbes Lake Park Type: Neighborhood, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Kirkland Size: 13 acres Area Impacted: 0.3 acre

	Jurisdiction	Actions	Project Description	Public Parks and trails Analysis
	Redmond	R.PA-18	Union Hill Rd (Avondale Rd to 196 Ave NE)– Widen to 4/5 lanes with bike facilities (ETP R-27)	Bear Creek Park / Arthur Johnson Park Type: Neighborhood, Open Space Jurisdiction: City of Redmond Size: 15 acres Area Impacted: 0.7 acre

5.5.1 Construction Impacts

Construction effects include the property acquisitions within 11 regional, sub-regional, neighborhood/passive, and open space parks, equaling approximately 15 acres. The most extensive acquisition affects Mercer Slough Park (4 acres), resulting from the HOV project between I-90 and I-405. Additionally there are other property acquisitions that impact May Creek Park and the Sammamish River Trail.

Construction effects to the public parks and trails include erosion, dust, noise and temporary access issues. Dust and noise would be related to the construction vehicles, potential interim traffic detours, and general construction activity. BMPs would reduce the impact of dust and sediment.

The property acquisition may constitute an important impact on the parks, and replacement or enhancement of the remaining park functions would need to be evaluated in project-specific evaluations. The regional and sub-regional park functions include water access, recreational facilities for bicycles, pedestrian access, group functions, and nature interpretation. The neighborhood park functions are passive and provide visual/aesthetic areas for a walk and/or small group functions. The neighborhood/passive parks typically include some recreational facilities for limited group sports and a play area for children. Any mitigation should address the continued operations of such functions with minimal disruption

5.5.2 Operational Impacts

The potential operational effects of Alternative 4 would be the same as the No Action Alternative, except that 11 parks would be impacted.

The regional and sub-regional park functions include water access, recreational facilities for bicycles, pedestrian access, group functions, and nature interpretation. The neighborhood park functions are passive and provide visual/aesthetic areas for a walk and/or small group functions. The neighborhood/passive parks typically include some recreational facilities for limited group sports and play area for children. Any mitigation should address the continued operations of such functions, with minimal disruption.


5.5.3 Secondary Impacts

See Section 5.1.3 of this report.

5.5.4 Mitigation Measures

The mitigation measures would be defined in a detailed Section 4(f) evaluation. The baseline mitigation measures need to address substantial impacts through temporary erosion/sedimentation control, water quality measures, replacement (or enhancement of functions) of parkland/trail due to acquisitions, protection of notable trees, project design to reduce the area of impacts, realignments of affected trails and potential replacement. Additionally, a defined phasing/timing program to lessen the impacts to the park functions would be required.

This page intentionally left blank.



Parklands with Alternative Four Projects
Figure No. 5.5

This page intentionally left blank.

6. COMPARISON OF IMPACTS

The No Action Alternative and implementation of each of the four action alternatives would require acquisition of land from existing parks and trails. Table 6.1 summarizes both the number of parks and trails impacted as well as the acreage that would need to be taken for project ROWs. Without more detailed design information, the acreages presented in Table 6.1 are the only basis for impact comparison.

Table 6.1: Comparison of Parks and Trails Impacts

Alternative	Number of Public Parks and Trails Impacted	Acreage Impacted	Substantial Impacts
No Action	3	7	Property acquisitions
Alternative 1	9	7	Property acquisitions
Alternative 2	15	12	Property acquisitions
Alternative 3	15	13	Property acquisitions
Alternative 4	11	14	Property acquisitions

The majority of impacts (property acquisitions) would occur on regional and sub-regional parks and trails. In fact, loss of approximately 3.8 acres from Mercer Slough Park under all four of the action alternatives, is the most relevant example.

The actual acquisitions may be buffer areas, areas of improved sidewalk or trail areas, and/or areas of surplus park not defined in a parks plan. The property acquisitions may not have a detrimental impact on the operation of a park, but still impact the park via visual, noise, and air quality impacts

Alternative's 2 and 3, in this initial corridor level analysis, appear to have more impacts on the sub-regional and neighborhood/passive parks. These impacts, if the design process can not avoid them, cumulatively, would have a greater impact on the local communities (vs. the larger regional community) that utilize the neighborhood parks.

However, until the design concepts are refined, the direct and indirect impacts to the various parks and trails are difficult to determine. Future detailed 4(f) and 6(f) evaluations, based on set designs, will be able to accurately determine if there are mitigations or replacement options that would offset substantial impacts to the parks and trails operations and viability. As stated in this report, a defined phasing/timing program to lessen the impacts to the park functions would be required.

The majority of the impacts can be mitigated through effective design avoidance measures and BMP's. The mitigation measures would include avoidance (for example, shifting the improvements to one side of the right-of-way), mitigation (for example, improvement in trails with lighting under expanded overpasses) and, if necessary, potential replacement of elements in the park. The baseline mitigation measures that are recommended to avoid or reduce effects would be temporary erosion/sedimentation control, water quality measures,

replacement (or enhancement of functions) of parkland/trail due to acquisitions, protection of important trees, project design to reduce the area of effects, and realignment of affected trails. Additionally, a defined traffic control (auto and pedestrian) program to lessen the effects to the park functions during construction could be prepared.

7. REFERENCES

King County Comprehensive Plan – Parks and Recreation Element, 12/99 (amended)

Snohomish County Comprehensive Plan – Parks and Recreation Element, 1/2000

City of Newcastle Comprehensive Plan – Parks, Trails and Open Space Element, 6/16/97

City of Redmond Comprehensive Plan – Parks and Recreation Element, 5/95

City of Bellevue Comprehensive Plan – Parks, Open Space and Recreation Element, 4/7/97

City of Bothell Comprehensive Plan – Parks and Recreation Element, 6/97

City of Woodinville Comprehensive Plan – Parks and Recreation Element, 7/1998 (amended)

City of Tukwila Comprehensive Plan – Parks and Recreation Element, 12/4/95

City of Kirkland Comprehensive Plan – Parks and Recreation Element

City of Renton Comprehensive Plan – Land Use Element (Parks/Open Space) 2/20/95 (amended 7/98)

Other Technical Expertise Reports related to the EIS

CH2M HILL. Revised August 2001. *I-405 Corridor Program Draft Cultural Resources Expertise Report.*

CH2M HILL. Revised August 2001. *I-405 Corridor Program Draft Economics Expertise Report.*

CH2M HILL. Revised August 2001. *I-405 Corridor Program Draft Environmental Justice Expertise Report.*

CH2M HILL. Revised August 2001. *I-405 Corridor Program Draft Geology and Soils Expertise Report.*

CH2M HILL. Revised August 2001. *I-405 Corridor Program Draft Groundwater Resources Expertise Report.*

CH2M HILL. Revised August 2001. *I-405 Corridor Program Draft Hazardous Materials and Wastes Technical Memorandum.*

CH2M HILL. Revised August 2001. *I-405 Corridor Program Draft Social Expertise Report.*

CH2M HILL. Revised August 2001. *I-405 Corridor Program Draft Surface Water Resources Expertise Report.*

David Evans and Associates, Inc. Revised August 2001. *I-405 Corridor Program Draft Farmland Expertise Report.*

David Evans and Associates, Inc. Revised August 2001. *I-405 Corridor Program Draft Fish and Aquatic Habitat Expertise Report.*

David Evans and Associates, Inc. Revised August 2001. *I-405 Corridor Program Draft Floodplain Expertise Report.*

David Evans and Associates, Inc. Revised August 2001. *I-405 Corridor Program Draft Land Use Expertise Report.*

David Evans and Associates, Inc. Revised August 2001. *I-405 Corridor Program Draft Land Use Plans and Policies Expertise Report.*

David Evans and Associates, Inc. Revised August 2001. *I-405 Corridor Program Draft Public Services Expertise Report.*

David Evans and Associates, Inc. Revised August 2001. *I-405 Corridor Program Draft Right-of-Way and Displacements Expertise Report.*

David Evans and Associates, Inc. Revised August 2001. *I-405 Corridor Program Draft Shorelines Expertise Report.*

David Evans and Associates, Inc. Revised August 2001. *I-405 Corridor Program Draft Upland Vegetation, Habitat, and Wildlife Expertise Report.*

David Evans and Associates, Inc. Revised August 2001. *I-405 Corridor Program Draft Visual Resources Expertise Report.*

David Evans and Associates, Inc. Revised August 2001. *I-405 Corridor Program Draft Wetlands Expertise Report.*

HNTB Corporation, Inc. Revised August 2001. *I-405 Corridor Program Draft Utilities Expertise Report.*

Mirai Associates and David Evans and Associates, Inc. Revised August 2001. *I-405 Corridor Program Draft Transportation Expertise Report.*

Parsons Brinckerhoff. Revised August 2001. *I-405 Corridor Program Draft Air Quality Expertise Report.*

Parsons Brinckerhoff. Revised August 2001. *I-405 Corridor Program Draft Energy Technical Memorandum.*

Parsons Brinckerhoff. Revised August 2001. *I-405 Corridor Program Draft Noise Expertise Report.*

APPENDIX A
Major Elements of Alternatives

Appendix A

I-405 CORRIDOR PROGRAM

MAJOR ELEMENTS OF ALTERNATIVES

1. TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT

TDM Package Core Assumptions

- Existing TDM programs will continue (public & private sector)
- Existing public TDM programs will be expanded to meet new market demand
- Implementation of trip reduction targets will be supported by new interlocal or sub-regional agreements
- Strategies are flexible, monitored and adjusted as needed over time (includes tracking trends for Internet, e-commerce)
- Funding is provided for demonstration projects, plus some ongoing funding for new TDM strategies found effective

Focus of TDM Package

SOV and other trip reduction through the use of:

- Incentives
- Increasing access to alternative modes
- Public information, education and promotion
- Land use strategies

Strategies in the TDM Package	
<u>VANPOOLING</u>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maximize vanpooling in the corridor (minimum of a five-fold increase) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Intensive marketing of vanpooling, including start-up subsidies * Use of new “value-added” incentives (e.g., frequent flyer miles for vanpoolers) * Creation of a revolving no-interest loan fund for purchasing vans * 50% fare subsidy * Provide sufficient infrastructure (e.g., small park & ride lots) * Owner-operated vanpool promotion 	
<u>PUBLIC INFORMATION, EDUCATION & PROMOTION PROGRAMS</u>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Establish ongoing public education and awareness program specific to the corridor (focus on issues and transportation alternatives) • Provide traveler information system(s), including interactive ridematch and transit information • Provide personalized trip planning assistance, including for transit 	

Strategies in the TDM Package

EMPLOYER-BASED PROGRAMS

- Increase work choices
 - Telecommuting, flextime, compressed work schedules, multiple shifts
 - Proximate commuting (assigning employees to work sites close to home)
 - Incentives to employers to offer work choices (e.g., tax credits)
- For current commuter trip reduction program – new incentives and resources to help CTR-affected employers obtain CTR goals (e.g., grants, tax credits, staff support)
- Expanded CTR-like program aimed at smaller employers plus those larger ones not affected by CTR laws (non-regulatory, voluntary based)
- Support development and core operations of transportation management associations (TMA)
- Parking cash-out program incentives and financing

LAND USE AS TDM

Compact, mixed-use, non-motorized and transit friendly (re)development in target areas (urban centers, suburban clusters, key arterials, transit station areas, transit centers, park-and-ride lots)

- Transit-oriented development (TOD)
- Code changes, streamlining processes, local connectivity retrofitting projects to support (re)development
- Programs (code assistance, design review support) to help jurisdictions and developers implement compact (re)development
- New parking management programs

OTHER MISCELLANEOUS TDM PROGRAMS

Innovative transit and vanpool fare media, incentives, demonstrations, matching funds, etc. [e.g., area-wide “Smart Card” (FlexPass) programs for Eastgate, downtown Bellevue, north Renton industrial area, Bothell business parks, Redmond, downtown Kirkland, Tukwila]

- Non-commute trips TDM programs (research and demonstrations)
- Other miscellaneous incentives (local and state tax credit programs, developer incentives)

2. EXPANDED TDM PACKAGE

Overview

This major element will include the range of regional pricing actions being evaluated by the PSRC. The potential impacts of the following actions will be examined in the context of the I-405 Corridor:

- ◆ Region-wide congestion pricing (RCP);
- ◆ Fuel taxes (revenue = RCP);
- ◆ Fuel taxes (revenue = 50% RCP);

- ◆ Mileage charge (revenue = RCP);
- ◆ Parking charges;
- ◆ High occupancy toll lanes.

2. NEW TRANSIT EXPANSION BY 50% WITHIN STUDY AREA

Transit service levels would be increased by 25% compared to the current King County 6-year plan, assumed to be in place by 2007.

Transit service levels would be increased by 50% compared to the current King County 6-year plan, assumed to be in place by 2007.

3. DOUBLE TRANSIT SERVICE WITHIN STUDY AREA

Overview

Transit service levels would be doubled compared to the current King County 6-year plan, assumed to be in place by 2007. The effects of I-695 on short-term transit service have not been assumed. Transit service coverage and design would also be revised to more closely match travel patterns within the study area. These revisions could include more center-to-center movements, connections between neighborhoods and centers, and development of an appropriate 'grid' transit system within the study area.

4. PHYSICALLY SEPARATED HIGH-CAPACITY TRANSIT (HCT)

Description

A high-capacity transit solution would be designed for the I-405 corridor. The exact technology of this solution would be determined in later studies, but could include busway, light rail, monorail, or similar mode that could operate at speeds of up to 70 mph. The HCT alignment would generally follow the I-405, SR 520 and I-90 freeway corridors in existing freeway, arterial, or railroad right-of-way. The key characteristic of this solution would be that it would have a dedicated alignment, removing it from congestion-induced delays. Bus service would be reconfigured to provide maximum accessibility to the HCT system.

Alternatives 1 and 2 assume a full-scale HCT within the corridor, likely using some form of rail technology. Alternative 3 assumes a bus rapid transit (BRT) concept, building on the existing freeway HOV system.

High Capacity Transit		
Jurisdiction	Project ID*	Projects
Tukwila & Renton	T.HCT-1	HCT- SeaTac to Renton CBD
Renton	T.HCT-2	HCT-Renton CBD to NE 44 th (Port Quendall)
Renton, Newcastle & Bellevue	T.HCT-3	HCT- NE 44 th (Port Quendall) to Factoria
Bell & Issaquah	T.HCT-4	HCT – Factoria to Issaquah

High Capacity Transit		
Bellevue	T.HCT-5	HCT – Factoria to Downtown Bellevue
Bell & Redmond	T.HCT-6	HCT – Bellevue to Redmond
Bell & Kirkland	T.HCT-7	HCT – Bellevue to Totem Lake
Kirk, King Co. & Woodinville	T.HCT-8	HCT – Totem Lake to Bothell
Bothell & Sno Co.	T.HCT-9	HCT – Bothell to Lynnwood

High Capacity Transit Stations	
Sea-Tac	Sea-Tac
Tukwila	Southcenter
Tukwila & Renton	Tukwila (Longacres)
Renton	Downtown Renton
Renton	North Renton
Renton	Port Quendall
Bellevue	Factoria
Bellevue	Bellevue Transit Center
Bellevue	Bellevue Library
Bell & Kirk	SR 520/Northup Way
Kirkland	Downtown Kirkland (NE 85 th Street)
Kirkland	Totem Lake
Woodinville	NE 145 th Street
Woodinville	Woodinville
Bothell	NE 195 th Street
Bothell	Canyon Park
Snohomish County	164 th Street SW (Ash Way)
Bellevue	Eastgate
Bellevue	Lakemont
Issaquah	Issaquah
Bellevue	132 nd Avenue NE
Bellevue	148 th Avenue NE
Redmond	Overlake (NE 40 th Street)
Redmond	Redmond/Town Center
Redmond	Bear Creek
Mercer Island	Mercer Island

6. ADD ARTERIAL HOV AND TRANSIT PRIORITY

Overview

Create lanes, intersection queue jumps and signals that provide priority to HOVs and transit on major arterials in the study area.

Arterial HOV		
Bellevue	R.HOV-36	Coal Creek Pkwy I-405 to Forest Drive
Bellevue	R.HOV-37	NE 8th Street I-405 to 120th Ave NE
Kirkland, Redmond	R.HOV-38	NE 85th St Kirkland Way to 148th Ave NE
Kirkland	R.HOV-39	NE 116th 98th Ave NE to 124th Ave NE
Kirkland	R.HOV-40	NE 124th 100th Ave NE to 132 Ave NE
Bothell	R.HOV-41	SR 527 From SE 228th St to SR 524
Renton	R.HOV-43	SR 169 - SR 405 to Riverview Park vicinity - HOV/Transit Preferential treatment.
Renton	R.HOV-44	SW 27th St Corridor in Renton - Oaksdale Ave to SR 167
Redmond	R.HOV-47	Avondale Rd from Novelty Hill Road to Avondale Way Construct SB HOV lane
Renton, King Co	R.HOV-48	SW 43 St (SR 167 to 140 Ave SE)
Renton	R.HOV-49	Logan Ave N / N 6 St (S 3 St to Park Dr)
Renton	R.HOV-51	Park Dr - Sunset Blvd (Garden Ave to Duvall Ave NE)
Kenmore	R.HOV-53	68 Ave NE (Smds Rd to SR 522) - Construct NB HOV lane
Redmond	R.HOV-55	Willows Rd (Redmond Wy to NE 124 St)
Kirkland, Bell	R.HOV-56	Lake Wa Blvd (SR 520 to Yarrow Bay) - SB HOV lane
Kirkland	R.HOV-57	NE 68 St/NE 72 Pl (I-4405 Vicinity) – Que Bypass
Bellevue	R.HOV-60	Bellevue Way - I-90 to South Bellevue Park and Ride

7. HOV EXPRESS ON I-405 WITH DIRECT ACCESS RAMPS

Overview

Complete the series of ramps connecting arterials and freeways directly to HOV lanes on I-405. This allows carpools, vanpools and buses to use the HOV lanes without weaving across other traffic. HOV direct access ramps have already been designed by Sound Transit in downtown Bellevue and Kirkland, and design studies are starting for HOV ramps in downtown Renton.

HOV Interchange Ramps (Direct Access)		
Tukwila	R.HOV-25	SR 5 I/C @ Tukwila Fwy to Fwy HOV ramps,
Renton	R.HOV-26	SR 167 I/C Fwy to Fwy HOV ramps,
Bellevue	R.HOV-27	SR 90 I/C Fwy to Fwy HOV ramps,
Bellevue	R.HOV-28	SR 520 Fwy to Fwy HOV ramps,
Bothell	R.HOV-29	SR 522 Fwy to Fwy HOV Ramps
Sno. Co.	R.HOV-30	SR 5 I/C @ Swamp Creek Fwy HOV ramps.
Kirkland	R.HOV-61	NE 85th
ST	R.HOV-101	I-405 @ Lind – HOV Direct Access
Newcastle	R:HOV-65	112th St SE (In-Line Station)

Committed HOV Projects		
Bellevue	HOV-01	I-405 at NE 4th/6th/8th (Bellevue)/Construct new HOV direct access at NE 6th, Improve arterial capacity at NE 4th/8th interchanges
Bellevue	HOV-02	I-90 (Eastgate)/New I-90 HOV direct access connection to P&R
Renton	R.HOV-32	Between Sunset and SR-900 /Park Ave interchange in Renton
ST	R:HOV-66	I-405 at 128th St/HOV direct access improvements
Renton	R.HOV-33	NE 44th I/C - HOV Direct Access and Arterial Improvements(Assumes Port Quendall)
WSDOT	HOV-14	I-405 (I-5 Swamp Creek to SR 527)/Construct NB and SB HOV lanes total 6 lanes
Bothell	R.HOV-62	SR 522 Campus Access
Bothell	R.HOV-63	SR 527 Flyer Stop
ST	HOV-102	Woodinville Arterial Enhancements/HOV arterial enhancements

8. ADD PARK-AND-RIDE CAPACITY TO MEET DEMAND

Overview

Provides additional park-and-ride capacity at existing locations and creates selected new lots based on forecasted transit and carpool demand. The locations initially identified for expansion are listed below. These locations will be refined during the evaluation process.

Park and Rides		
Renton	T.PR-3	Renton East Highlands new Park and Ride
Tukwila & Renton	T.PR-6	Tukwila Commuter Rail (Longacres)
King County	T.PR-5	140th Ave SE and Petrovitsky Rd Vicinity
King County	T.PR-8	SR 169 and 140th WY SE
King County	T.PR-9	Petrovitsky Rd and 157th Ave SE
King County	T.PR-10	140th Ave SE and SE 192nd
King County	T.PR-11	SR 515 and SE 208th
Kent & Renton	T.PR-12	SR 167 and SW 43rd
Kent & Renton	T.PR-13	SR 167 and 84th Ave
Redmond	T.PR-17	Willows Rd @ NE 100th
Redmond	T.PR-18	SR 202 @ NE 100th
Bellevue & Kirkland	T.PR-20	South Kirkland
Redmond	T.PR-21	Overlake
Bellevue	T.PR-22	South Bellevue
Bellevue	T.PR-23	Newport (112 th Ave. SE)
King County	T.PR-24	NE 160th/Brickyard Rd
Bothell	T.PR-25	Canyon Park (I-405 and SR 527)
Tukwila	T.PR-30	Tukwila
Kirkland	T.PR-31	Houghton
Kirkland	T.PR-32	Kingsgate
Medina	T.PR-33	Evergreen Point
Bellevue	T.PR-34	Wilburton
King County	T.PR-35	Lakemont
Redmond	T.PR-36	Redmond
Redmond	T.PR-37	Bear Creek
Bothell	T.PR-38	Bothell
Kenmore	T.PR-39	Northshore
Kenmore	T.PR-40	Kenmore
Woodinville	T.PR-41	Woodinville
Mercer Island	T.PR-42	Mercer Island
Bellevue	T.PR-43	Eastgate

9. ADD TRANSIT CENTER CAPACITY TO MEET DEMAND

Overview

Expand existing transit centers and create new transit centers to accommodate increased transit service. The specific locations for expansion and new centers will be identified during the evaluation process. Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 will require transit center capacity to accommodate a significant increase in transit service, at designated HCT stations, and at feeder bus connections. A partial listing is below.

Transit Center Capacity		
Renton	T.TC-6	Downtown Renton
Bellevue	T.TC-8	Downtown Bellevue
Redmond	T.TC-9	Overlake
Redmond	T.TC-10	Redmond/Town Center
Kirkland	T.TC-12	Downtown Kirkland
Kirkland	T.TC-14	Totem Lake

10. BASIC I-405 IMPROVEMENTS

Overview

This major element fixes existing bottlenecks and locations with safety deficiencies along I-405.

Basic I-405 Improvement Projects		
Jurisdiction	Project ID*	Projects
Renton	R.BI.1	SR 167 Interchange - Direct Connection with auxiliary lane SB SR 169 to SR 167
Kirkland	R.BI.2	Continue NB climbing Lane from NE 70th to NE 85th and continue as auxiliary Lane to NE 116th
Kirkland	R.BI.3	SB auxiliary Lane NE 124th to NE 85th
Bellevue	R.BI.4	I-90 / Coal Creek Interchange
Bothell, King Co, Kirkland	R.BI.5	SB SR 522 to 124th continue climbing lane as an auxiliary lane
Bothell	R.BI.6	NB auxiliary lane SR 522 to SR 527
Renton	R.BI.7	Kennydale Hill climbing lane - SR 900 to 44th - NB 900 to 30th, SB 44th - 30th
Bellevue	R.BI.8	I-90 to Bellevue SB HOV direct connection to I-90 west
Bellevue	R.BI.9	NB auxiliary lane I-90 to NE 8th
Bellevue	R.BI.10	Increase SR 405 to Eastbound SR 520 Ramp capacity
Renton	R.BI.14	NB Auxiliary Lane I-5 to SR 167
Various	R.FR-24	Improve interchange geometrics at all major truck routes (WB-20 Design Criteria)
WSDOT	R-55	I-405/SR 167 Interchange/Construct new southbound I-405-to-southbound SR 167 ramp modification.

11. ADD 2 GENERAL PURPOSE LANES EACH DIRECTION ON I-405

Add up to 2 general purpose lanes to I-405 through widening of the existing freeway. A design option is to create collector-distributor lanes in selected corridor segments (See Element 12).

12. PROVIDE COLLECTOR DISTRIBUTOR LANES ON I-405

Overview

Collector- Distributor lanes provide more time for traffic to safely enter or exit from roadway by providing lanes removed from general travel. This is being considered as a design option to handle the addition of one or two general purpose lanes in each direction along I-405 in certain sections. Collector-Distributor lanes have been included as parts of other elements.

13. ADD TWO EXPRESS LANES EACH DIRECTION ON I-405

Overview

This element consists of a four-lane express facility designed to operate with limited interchanges along the length of I-405. The express lanes would be physically separated from the rest of I-405 through the use of barriers. Certain segments could operate within the median of I-405, while other segments would need to be elevated, in tunnel, or on separate alignments.

The express lanes could operate as a general purpose facility or as a managed facility, such as a 'High Occupancy Toll (i.e. HOT) lane. Certain users could be allowed to use the express lanes for free, while other users could be allowed to 'buy-in' to available capacity. The capacity would be priced depending upon demand.

Express Lanes – 2 Lanes each Direction between Major Interchanges		
Jurisdiction	Project ID	Projects
Tukwila, Renton	R.TC-20	Add Express lanes - SR 5 Tukwila to SR 167
Renton	R.TC-21	Add Express lanes - SR 167 to SR 900 north Renton I/C
Renton, Newcastle, Bellevue	R.TC-22	Add Express lanes -SR 900 North Renton I/C to SR 90
Bellevue	R.TC-23	Add Express lanes - SR 90 to SR 520
Bellevue, Kirkland	R.TC-24	Add Express lanes - SR 520 to NE 70th
Kirkland	R.TC-25	Add Express lanes - NE 70th to NE 124th
Kirkland, King County, Bothell	R.TC-26	Add Express lanes - NE 124th to SR 522
Bothell	R.TC-27	Add Express lanes - SR 522 to SR 527
Bothell and Snohomish Co.	R.TC-29	SR 527 to vicinity of Damson Road
Renton	R.TC-28	Add Express lanes- on SR 167 north of 180th up to I-405

Express Lanes –Access Locations		
Snohomish Co	R.TC-30	Northern end to Express lanes - Between SR 527 and I-5
King Co/Kirkland	R.TC-31	Slip Ramp- South of NE 160th St
Kirkland	R.TC-32	Slip Ramp- South of NE 70th St
Bellevue, Newcastle	R.TC-33	Slip Ramp- South of Coal Creek Pkwy
Renton	R.TC-34	Interchange access location- SR 167

14. WIDEN SR 167 BY 1 LANE EACH DIRECTION TO KENT (STUDY AREA BOUNDARY)

Overview

SR 167 would be widened by one lane in each direction to accommodate additional demands due to growing demands and the effects of improvements at the I-405/SR 167 interchange. The widening is assumed to extend at least to the study area boundary in Kent. Alternative 3 will consider the potential to add a total of two lanes in each direction to SR 167 within 1 mile of I-405, due to the substantial capacity additions assumed for I-405. This element does not presume that SR 167 would be redesignated as I-405, although each of these improvements would be compatible with such a redesignation if it occurs.

16. IMPROVE CONNECTING FREEWAY CAPACITY TO I-405

Overview

Enhance the capacity of connecting freeways by one lane in each direction (for a distance of approximately ½ to 1 mile on both sides of I-405) to avoid bottlenecks at the connections to I-405.

Connecting Freeway Capacity (One Lane, Each Direction)		
Jurisdiction	Project ID	Projects
Tukwila	R.CF.1	SR 518 I-405 to SR 99/Airport Access
Bellevue	R.CF.3	I-90 South Bellevue to Eastgate
Bellevue	R.CF.4	SR 520 Bellevue Way to 148 th Avenue NE
Bothell, Woodinville	R.CF.5	SR 522 Bothell to NE 195th
Snohomish Co, Lynnwood	R.CF.6	SR 525 I-405 to SR 99
Renton, Kent	R.CF.8	SR 167 I-405 to Study Area Boundary
Tukwila	R.CF.9	I-5 at Tukwila
Lynnwood	R.CF.10	I-5 at Swamp Creek – 196 th to 164 th

17. IMPLEMENT PLANNED ARTERIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Overview

This major element involves the implementation of several arterial improvements called for in local agency plans and the Eastside Transportation Program (ETP). The ETP has been an ongoing process by regional, county and local governments to coordinate transportation planning and funding in East King County. Many of the ETP projects have already been examined in detail by the agencies involved and have been determined to be effective in addressing a variety of transportation issues.

Eastside Transportation Projects - Committed Projects		
Jurisdiction	Project ID	Projects
Bellevue	R-08	NE 29th PI (148th Ave NE to NE 24th St)/Construct new 2-lane road
Bellevue	R-101	150th Ave SE---Widen to 7 lanes from SE 36th to SE 38th; add turn lanes
KCDOT	R-40	Juanita-Woodinville Way (NE 145 St to 112th Ave NE) Widen to 5 lanes + CGS, walkway/pathway
KCDOT	R-47	NE 124 St (Willows Rd to SR 202)--- Widen to 4/5 lanes + CGS, bike facilities; traffic signal.
Kirkland	R-21	NE 120 St (Slater Ave to 124 Ave NE)--- Construct new 3-lane roadway with ped/bike facilities
Redmond	R-111	Willows Rd Corridor Improvements-- Channelization of Willows Rd/Redmond Way intersection and widening of Willows Rd from NE 116th to NE 124th
Redmond	R-26	NE 90 St (Willows Rd to SR 202)--- Construct new 4/5 lanes + bike facilities
Redmond	R-28	West Lake Sammamish Parkway (Leary Way to Bel-Red Rd)--- Widen to 4/5 lanes + CGS, bike lanes
Renton	R-36	Oakesdale Ave SW (SW 31st to SW 16th)--- Construct new 5 lane roadway with CGS
Snohomish Co.	R-10	SR 524 (24 St SW to SR 527)--- Widen to 4/5 lanes including sidewalks, bike lanes
Snohomish Co.	R-117	39th Ave SE Realignment at SR 524 and York Rd--- Construct 4-way intersection to replace 2 offset intersections
Bothell, Snohomish Co.	R.AC-21	120th NE/39th SE - NE 95th to Maltby Rd - 4/5 lanes including new connection
Woodinville	R-51	Woodinville-Snohomish Rd/140 Ave NE (NE 175 St to SR 522)--- Widen to 4/5 lanes + CGS, bike lanes
Woodinville/WSDOT	R-25	SR 202 Corridor Improvements(East Lake Sammamish Pkwy to Sahalee Way)--- Widen to 3/5 lanes; intersection improvements with bike/ped facilities
KCDOT	R-39	140 Ave SE (SR 169 to SE 208 St)--- Widen to 5 lanes SR 169 to SE 196 St, widen for turn channels on SE 196. Combines 2 King County CIP projects. A major North-South arterial which serves the Soos Creek Plateau and Fairwood.

Eastside Transportation Projects - Planned Projects		
Jurisdiction	ETP #	Projects
Bellevue	R.PA-2	148 Ave SE (SE 24 St to SE 28 St) New SB lane from SE 24 St to the WB I-90 on-ramp (ETP 203)
Bothell	R.PA-3	SR 522 Multimodal Corridor Project--- Widen SR-522 mostly within existing ROW to provide transit lanes, safety improvements, consolidated driveways & left turn lanes; and sidewalks. (ETP R-107)
Bothell	R.PA-4	SR 524 (SR 527 to Bothell City Limit)--- Widen to 5 lanes + CGS, bike facilities (class III) (ETP R-11)
KCDOT	R.PA-5	SE 212 Way/SE 208 St (SR 167 to Benson Rd/SR 515)--- Widen to 6 lanes + bike facilities, Transit/HOV preferential treatment, turn channels. (ETP R-46)
KCDOT	R.PA-8	NE 124/128 St (SR 202 to Avondale Rd)--- Widen to 4/5 lanes including bike & equestrian facilities (ETP 164)
KCDOT	R.PA-10	NE 132 St Extension (132 Ave NE to Willows Rd Ext.)--- Construct new 3 lane arterial with CGS, bike lanes (ETP 61)
Kenmore/KCDOT	R.PA-11	68 Ave NE (Simonds Rd to SR 522)--- Construct NB HOV lane total of 5/6 lanes (ETP 22)
Kirkland	R.PA-12	124 Ave NE (NE 85 St to Slater Rd NE)---- Widen to 3 lanes (s. of NE 116th St, 5 lanes n. of NE 116th St with ped/bike facilities (ETP R-23)
Kirkland	R.PA-13	NE 132 St (100 Ave NE to 116 Way NE)--- Widen to 3 lanes + CGS, Bike lane (ETP R-124)
Kirkland	R.PA-14	NE 100 St (117 Ave NE to Slater Ave) --- Construct bike/pedestrian/emergency Vehicle overpass across I-405 (ETP 309)
Newcastle	R.PA-15	Coal Creek Pkwy (SE 72 St to Renton City Limits)--- Widen to 4/5 lanes + CGS, bike lanes, traffic signals (ETP R-24)
Redmond	R.PA-16	Redmond 148th Ave NE Corridor - 3 projects--- Turn lane and channelization improvements along corridor – BROTS; (ETP R-112)
Redmond	R.PA-17	Bear Creek Pkwy--- Construct new 162nd Ave NE arterial and new 72nd St arterial w/ bike/ped and CSG; widen Bear Creek Pkwy (ETP R-110)
Redmond	R.PA-18	Union Hill Rd (Avondale Rd to 196 Ave NE)--- Widen to 4/5 lanes with bike facilities (ETP R-27)
Renton	R.PA-19	Duvall Ave NE (NE 4 St to NE 25 Court -City Limits)--- Widen to 5 lanes + CGS, bikeway (ETP R-31)
Renton	R.PA-20	Oakesdale Ave SW (Monster Rd to SR 900) Replace Monster Rd Bridge; widen to 4/5 lanes +Bike Lanes + CGS (ETP R-35)
Renton	R.PA-21	Rainier Ave / Grady Way (intersection)-- Grade separation (ETP R-33)

Eastside Transportation Projects - Planned Projects		
Renton	R.PA-22	SW Grady Way (SR 167 to SR 515)-- Rechannelize and modify signals for a continuous eastbound lane (ETP R-37)
Renton	R.PA-23	SR 167 at East Valley Road--- New southbound off-ramp and signalization at East Valley Road (ETP 255)
Renton/ KCDOT	R.PA-24	Soos Creek Regional Links --- Placeholder for Trans-Valley Study (ETP R-115)
Woodinville	R.PA-25	SR 522 Interchange Package(SR 522/SR 202 &SR522/195th St)-- Access improvements and new freeway ramps (ETP R-53) (See R.AC-30)
Woodinville	R.PA-26	SR202 Corridor Package (SR202/148th Ave & SR202/127th Place)--- Intersection improvements (ETP R-54)
WSDOT	R.PA-27	SR 520/SR 202 Interchange-- Complete interchange by constructing a new ramp and thru lane on 202 to SR 520 (ETP R-29)
WSDOT	R.PA-28	SR 202 / 140 Place NE (NE 124 St to NE 175 St)--- Widen 4/5 lanes (ETP R-43) (See R.AC-17, 18)

18. EXPAND CAPACITY ON NORTH-SOUTH ARTERIALS

Overview

This element expands arterial capacity to provide connected north-south travel. This element would facilitate vehicular movement without requiring as many trips along I-405.

North-South Arterial Projects		
King Co	R.AC-2	138th Ave - Petrovitsky Rd to SR 169- Add 1 lane
King Co, Renton	R.AC-3	138th Ave SE - Construct roadway link to 4/5 lanes- SR 169 to NE 4th St
Redmond	R.AC-15	Willows Rd- NE 90th St to NE 124th St- Add 1 lane each direction
King Co, Woodinville	R.AC-16	Willows Rd- NE 124th St to NE 145th St- construct new facility -4/5 lanes
Woodinville	R.AC-17	SR 202- NE 145th St to SR 522- widen to 5 lanes
Redmond, King County, Woodinville	R.AC-18	SR 202 - NE 90th to NE 145th
Bothell, Snohomish County, Mill Creek	R.AC-20	SR 527/Bothell Everett Hwy - SR 522 to SR 524 - Widen by 1 lane each direction
Bothell, Woodinville	R.AC-30	SR 202 connection across SR 522 to 120th
Tukwila	R.AC-35	SR 181- S 180th to S 200th
Tukwila	R.AC-36	SR 181- 144th to Strander Blvd.
Tukwila	R.AC-37	Southcenter Blvd - Tukwila Pky to Strander Blvd

19. UPGRADE ARTERIAL CONNECTIONS TO I-405

Overview

This element provides for upgrading arterial connections to I-405. These projects are intended to improve operations at on- and off-ramps as well as on the arterials themselves. An additional lane in each direction was assumed for these arterials, although further analysis may show that similar benefits could be achieved through selected intersection improvements in some cases.

Arterial Interchange Improvements (One Lane Each Direction)		
Jurisdiction	Project ID	Projects
Tukwila	R.IC-3	SR 181 West Valley Highway/ Interurban
Renton	R.IC-4	SR 169 Maple Valley Hwy SR 900 to NE 5th
Bellevue	R.IC-6	Coal Creek Pkwy I-405 to Factoria Blvd.
Kirkland, Redmond	R.IC-8	NE 85th St-Kirkland Way to 124th
Kirkland	R.IC-9	NE 116th- 114th Ave NE to 124th Ave NE
Kirkland	R.IC-10	NE 124th- 113th Ave NE to 124th Ave NE
Kirkland	R.IC-26	NE 132nd - 113th to 124th Ave NE
Bothell	R.IC-11	SR 527-228th to SR 524
Kirkland, King Co	R.IC-14	New half diamond interchange to/from north at NE 132nd St
Bothell	R.IC-21	New SR 405 Interchange at 240th Street SE(Bothell)
Bothell	R.IC-24	NE 160th Street-112th Ave to Juanita/Woodinville Way

21. CORRIDOR PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE IMPROVEMENTS

Overview

Non-motorized improvements throughout the corridor provide needed connections between modes (e.g. pedestrian overpasses from park and rides to freeway bus stops) and allow for commutes or trips to be made by walking or biking. Alternative 3 will exclude all of the 'long-distance' trails (identified below under the heading Pedestrian/Bicycle Connections) from this element. These improvements need further refinement in the context of other major elements in the alternatives.

Pedestrian/Bicycle (I-405 Crossings)		
Bellevue	NM. CR-1	Lk Washington Blvd/112th Ave. SE - crossing I-405 from 106th Ave. SE to 112th Place SE - Add sidewalks
Bothell	NM. CR-2	Fitzgerald Rd/27th Ave. - crossing I-405 from 228th St. SE to 240th St. SE - Add ped/bike facility
King County	NM. CR-3	SR-524 (Filbert Road) - crossing I-405 from North Rd to Locust Way - Add sidewalk/paved shoulder
King County	NM. CR-4	Damson Road - crossing I-405 from 192nd St SW to Logan Rd - Add sidewalk/paved shoulder
Renton	NM. CR-5	NE Park Drive - crossing I-405 from SR-900/Sunset Blvd to Lake Wash Blvd - Add sidewalk/paved shoulder
Renton	NM. CR-6	Jackson SW/Longacres Dr SW - crossing I-405 from S. Longacres Way to Monster Rd SW - Add sidewalk/paved shoulder
Bothell	NM. CR-7	Connection between Sammamish River Trail and North Creek Trail - between SR-522 and NE 195th St. - Add ped/bike over-crossing of I-405
Bothell	NM. CR-8	SR-527 - crossing I-405 from 220th St SE to 228th St SE - ped/bike facility

Pedestrian/Bicycle Connections		
Bellevue	NM.P&B-4	Lake Washington Blvd - SR 405 to SE 60th - Add ped/bike facilities
Bellevue, Kirkland	NM.P&B-2	BNSF Right of Way - SE 8th to Totem Lake - Add ped/bike facility.
Bellevue, Newcastle, Renton	NM.P&B-6	Lake Washington Blvd/112th - SE 60th to May Creek I/C - Add ped/bike facility
Bothell	NM.P&B-5	North Creek Trail Link - 240th to 232nd - Add ped/bike trail.
Renton	NM. P&B 14	Cedar River Trail S. Extension - I-405 to Burnett Ave - Add ped/bike facilities (ETP NM-17)
Renton	NM. P&B 15	Cedar River Trail/Lake Washington Blvd Connector - Cedar River Trail to Lk Wash Blvd Loop - Add ped/bike facilities (ETP NM-15)
Renton	NM. P&B 16	Cedar-Duwamish Trail Connection - I-405 to Interurban Ave. S. - Add ped/bike facilities
Renton	NM. P&B 17	I-405/SR-167 trail connection - Lind Ave. SE to Talbot Rd S. - Add trail connection
Renton/Tukwila	NM. P&B 18	I-405/I-5 - via or around I-405/I-5 interchange - Add ped/bike facilities
Tukwila	NM. P&B 19	SR-181/W. Valley Hwy - crossing I-405 from Strander Blvd to Fort Dent Way - Add bike lanes

22. I-405 CORRIDOR INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM ENHANCEMENTS

Overview

This major element provides ITS enhancements to facilitate more reliable traffic flow.

I-405 Corridor ITS Enhancements		
Jurisdiction	Project ID	Projects
Various	ITS.1	Add Camera Coverage to decrease TMC blind spots
Various	ITS.2	Complete Ramp Metering
Various	ITS.4	Dual Lane Ramp Metering
Various	ITS.5	Increased Incident Response
Various	ITS.6	Traffic adaptive control on arterials
Various	ITS.7	TIS before all major decision points
Various	ITS.8	WSDOT support of in-vehicle traffic information
Various	ITS.9	Arterial camera coverage

23. I-405 CORRIDOR FREIGHT ENHANCEMENTS

Overview

This major element focuses on improvements specific to freight movements. Note that freight will benefit as well from general purpose traffic expansion described in other elements.

I-405 Corridor Freight Enhancements		
Jurisdiction	Project ID	Projects
Renton	R.FR-10	Modify SR 167 Interchange for East to South Freight movements
Various	R.FR-11	Improve truck flow with ITS
Various	R.FR-23	Remote area for overnight freight parking and staging for early morning deliveries
Various	R.FR-26	Full depth shoulders for truck usage on key freeways and arterials)
Various	R.FR-27	Traveler Information System (TIS) on SR 167 for I-405 "options"
Various	R.FR-28	TIS on I-5 for SR 18/I-90; and 164th to I-405; and South 200th to I-405
Various	R.FR-29	Centralized fax/radio for real time congestion reporting for dispatchers and truck drivers. Leverage WSDOT video linkages (e.g., a "T-911" number).
Various	R.FR-30	Hours of operation and service periods optimized—"JIT" redefined for applicable service sectors (e.g. restaurants)
Various	R.FR-32	Light cargo delivery using Sound Transit service

APPENDIX B
Alternatives Project Matrix

APPENDIX B
I-405 Corridor Program EIS Alternatives Project Matrix

				Alternatives				
		Jurisdiction	ACTIONS	5	1	2	3	4
Element #				No Action	HCT/TDM	Mixed Mode with HCT/Transit Emphasis	Mixed Mode	General Capacity
10. Basic I-405 Improvement Projects								
	Renton	R.BI-1 & R.FR-10	SR 167 Interchange - Direct Connection with auxiliary lane SB SR 169 to SR 167		✓	✓	✓	✓
	Kirkland	R.BI-2	Continue NB climbing Lane from NE 70th to NE 85th and continue as auxiliary Lane to NE 116th		✓	✓		✓
	Kirkland	R.BI-3	SB auxiliary Lane NE 124th to NE 85th		✓	✓		✓
	Bellevue	R.BI-4	I-90 / Coal Creek Interchange		✓	✓	✓	✓
	Both, King Co, Kirk	R.BI-5	SB SR 522 to 124th continue climbing lane as an auxiliary lane		✓	✓		✓
	Bothell	R.BI-6	NB auxiliary lane SR 522 to SR 527		✓	✓		✓
	Renton	R.BI-7	Kennydale Hill climbing lane - SR 900 to 44th - NB 900 to 30th, SB 44th - 30th		✓	✓		✓
	Bellevue	R.BI-8	I-90 to Bellevue SB HOV direct connection to I-90 west		✓	✓		✓
	Bellevue	R.BI-9	NB auxiliary lane I-90 to NE 8th		✓	✓		✓
	Bellevue	R.BI-10	Increase SR 405 to Eastbound SR 520 Ramp capacity		✓	✓		✓
	Renton	R.BI-14	NB Auxiliary Lane I-5 to SR 167		✓	✓		✓
	Various	R.FR.24	Improve interchange geometrics at all major truck routes (WB-20 Design Criteria)		✓	✓	✓	✓
10. Committed Freeway Projects								
	Joint	R-17 & R-17(17)	I-90/SR 900 Interchange and SR 900 improvements/Interchange reconfiguration Outside of Study Area					
	Joint	R-19	I-90/Sunset Way Interchange/Complete interchange and upgrade nonmotorized connections. Outside of Study Area					
	WSDOT	R-55	I-405/SR 167 Interchange/Construct new southbound I-405-to-southbound SR 167 ramp modification.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
SR 405 Through Capacity (TC)								
11. Two additional GP lanes in each direction								
	Tukwila, Renton	R.TC-1	Two additional GP lanes in each direction - SR 5 Tukwila to SR 167				✓	
	Renton	R.TC-2	Two additional GP lanes in each direction - SR 167 to SR 900/North Renton I/C				✓	
	Renton, Nwcas, Bel	R.TC-3	Two additional GP lanes in each direction - SR 900/North Renton I/C to SR 90				✓	
	Bellevue	R.TC-4	Two additional GP lanes in each direction - SR 90 To SR 520				✓	
	Bellevue, Kirkland	R.TC-5	Two additional GP lanes in each direction - SR 520 to NE 70th				✓	
	Kirkland	R.TC-6	Two additional GP lanes in each direction - NE 70th to NE 124th				✓	
	Kirk, K C, Both	R.TC-7	Two additional GP lanes in each direction - NE 124th SR 522				✓	
	Bothell, Sno Co	R.TC-8	Two additional GP lanes in each direction - SR 522 to SR 527				✓	
	Sno Co	R.TC-9	Two additional GP lanes in each direction - SR 527 to SR 5 Swamp Creek				✓	
13. Express Lanes- 2 lanes each direction between major interchanges								
	Tukwila, Renton	R.TC-20 + R.TC-29a	Add Express lanes - SR 5 Tukwila to SR 167					✓
	Renton	R.TC-21	Add Express lanes - SR 167 to SR 900 North Renton					✓
	Ren, Nwcas, Bel	R.TC-22 + R.TC-33	Add Express lanes -SR 900 North Renton I/C to SR 90					✓
	Bellevue	R.TC-23	Add Express lanes - SR 90 to SR 520					✓
	Bellevue, Kirkland	R.TC-24 + R.TC-32	Add Express lanes - SR 520 to NE 70th					✓
	Kirkland	R.TC-25	Add Express lanes - NE 70th to NE 124th					✓
	Kirk, K C, Both	R.TC-26 + R.TC-31	Add Express lanes - NE 124th to SR 522					✓
	Bothell, Sno Co	R.TC-27	Add Express lanes - SR 522 to SR 527					✓
	Sno. Co	R.TC-29 + R.TC-30	Add Express Lanes - SR 527 to SR 5 Swamp Creek					✓
	Renton	R.TC-28	Add Express lanes- on SR 167 north of 180th up to I-405					✓

* Evaluated within another project

APPENDIX B
I-405 Corridor Program EIS Alternatives Project Matrix

				Alternatives				
		Jurisdiction	ACTIONS	5	1	2	3	4
Element #				No Action	HCT/TDM	Mixed Mode with HCT/Transit Emphasis	Mixed Mode	General Capacity
13.	Express Lanes - Access Locations							
	Tuk & Renton	R.TC-29a & R.TC-20	Southern end to Express lanes - Between SR 181 and SR 167					✓ *
	Snohomish Co	R.TC-30 & R.TC-29	Northern end to Express lanes - Between SR 527 and I-5					✓ *
	King Co,Kirkland	R.TC-31 & R.TC-26	Slip Ramp- South of NE 160th St					✓ *
	Kirkland	R.TC-32 & R.TC-24	Slip Ramp- South of NE 70th St					✓ *
	Bellevue, Newcastle	R.TC-33 & R.TC-22	Slip Ramp- South of Coal Creek Pkwy					✓ *
	Renton	R.TC-34	Interchange access location- SR 167					✓
14.	Widen SR 167 by 1 lane each direction to study Area boundary							
	Renton, Kent	R.CF-8	SR 167 I-405 to Study Area Boundary			✓	✓	✓
14A.	SR 167 / I-405 Interchange Improvements							
	Renton	R.FR-10 & R.BI-1	SR 167/I-405 Interchange Add Directional Ramps for major movements			✓ *	✓ *	✓ *
16.	Connecting Freeway Capacity (Matched to fit I-405 Improvements)							
	Tukwila	R.CF-1	SR 518 I-405 to SR 99/Airport Access			✓	✓	✓
	Bellevue	R.CF-3	I-90 South Bellevue to Eastgate				✓	✓
	Bellevue	R.CF-4	SR 520 Bellevue Way to 148th					✓
	Bothell, Woodin	R.CF-5	SR 522 Bothell to NE 195th			✓	✓	✓
	Sno Co, Lynnwood	R.CF-6	SR 525 I-405 to SR 99			✓	✓	✓
	Tukwila	R.CF-9	I-5 at Tukwila			✓	✓	✓
	Lynnwood	R.CF-10	I-5 at Swamp Creek - 44th to 155th			✓	✓	✓
10A.	One additional GP or Auxiliary lane in each direction							
	Tukwila,Renton	R.TC-9	One additional GP lanes in each direction - SR 5 Tukwila to SR 167			✓		✓
	Renton	R.TC-10	One additional GP lanes in each direction - SR 167 to SR 900/North Renton I/C			✓		✓
	Ren, Nwcas,Bel	R.TC-11	One additional GP lanes in each direction - SR 900/North Renton I/C to SR 90			✓		✓
	Bellevue	R.TC-12	One additional GP lanes in each direction - SR 90 To SR 520			✓		✓
	Bellevue,Kirkland	R.TC-13	One additional GP lanes in each direction - SR 520 to NE 70th (Verify need for additional through capacity on this section)			✓		✓
	Kirkland	R.TC-14	One additional GP lanes in each direction - NE 70th to NE 124th			✓		✓
	Kirk,K C,Both	R.TC-15	One additional GP lanes in each direction - NE 124th SR 522			✓		✓
	Bothell,Sno Co	R.TC-16	One additional GP lanes in each direction - SR 522 to SR 527			✓		✓
	Sno. Co	R.TC-17	One additional GP lanes in each direction - SR 527 to SR 5 Swamp Creek			✓		✓
18.	Arterial Capacity (AC) Actions							
	King Co	R.AC-2 & R-39	138th Ave - Petrovitsky Rd to SR 169- Add 1 lane. See R-39					
	King Co, Renton	R.AC-3	138th Ave SE - Construct roadway link to 4/5 lanes- SR 169 to NE 4th St				✓	✓
	Ren, Nwcas,Bel	R.AC-4	140th Ave/Coal Creek Pkwy- Widen to 6 lanes to I-405					
	Redmond	R.AC-15 & R-111	Willows Rd- NE 90th St to NE 124th St- Add 1 lane each direction					✓ *
	King Co,Woodin	R.AC-16	Willows Rd- NE 124th St to NE 145th St- construct new facility -4/5 lanes				✓	✓
	Woodinville	R.AC-17 & R.PA-28	SR 202- NE 145th St to SR 522- widen to 5 lanes				✓ *	✓ *
	Red,K C,Woodin	R.AC-18 & R.PA-28	SR 202 - NE 90th to NE 145th					✓ *
	Ren, K C, Issaqu	R.AC-19 & R.IC-5	SR 900 - SR 405 to Edmonds. Additional capacity is not needed					
	Both,S C,Mill Cr	R.AC-20	SR 527/Bothell Everett Hwy - SR 522 to SR 524 - Widen by 1 lane each direction					✓
	Both,Woodin	R.AC-30 & R.PA-25	SR 202 connection across SR 522 to 120th				✓ *	✓ *
	Bothell	R.AC-34	120th Ave NE - SR 522 to NE 195th (4 lns existing additional not needed)					

* Evaluated within another project

APPENDIX B
I-405 Corridor Program EIS Alternatives Project Matrix

				Alternatives				
	Jurisdiction	ACTIONS		5	1	2	3	4
Element #				No Action	HCT/TDM	Mixed Mode with HCT/Transit Emphasis	Mixed Mode	General Capacity
	Tukwila	R.AC-35	SR 181- S 180th to S 200th					✓
	Tukwila	R.AC-36& R.IC-3	SR 181- 144th to Strander Blvd.					✓ *
	Tukwila	R.AC-37	Southcenter Pky - Tukwila Pky to Strander Blvd					✓
19.	Arterial Interchange Improvements (Matched to fit I-405 Improvements)							
	Tukwila	R.IC-3 & R.AC-36	SR 181 West Valley Highway/ Interurban See R.AC-36			✓	✓	✓
	Renton	R.IC-4 & R.HOV-43	SR 169 Maple Valley Hwy SR 900 to NE 5th See R.HOV-43			✓ *	✓ *	✓
	Renton	R.IC-5 & R.AC-19	SR 900/ Park - Lake Washington Blvd to Edmonds. Additional capacity is not needed.					
	Bellevue	R.IC-6	Coal Creek Pkwy I-405 to Factoria Blvd.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Kirkland, Redmond	R.IC-8	NE 85th St-Kirkland Way to 124th			✓	✓	✓
	Kirkland	R.IC-9	NE 116th- 114th Ave NE to 124th Ave NE			✓	✓	✓
	Kirkland	R.IC-10	NE 124th- 113th Ave NE to 124th Ave NE			✓	✓	✓
	Bothell	R.IC-11 & R.HOV-41	SR 527-228th to SR 524			✓	✓	✓
	Renton	R.IC-12 & R.HOV-33	Port Quendall overpass at SE 44th. See R.HOV-33					
	Kirk,King Co	R.IC-14	New half diamond interchange to/from north at NE 132nd St				✓	✓
	Bothell	R.IC-21	New SR 405 Interchange at 240th Street SE(Bothell)				✓	✓
	Bothell	R.IC-24 & R-40	NE 160th Street-112th Ave to Juanita/Woodinville Wy See R-40			✓ *	✓ *	✓ *
	Bothell	R.IC-25	NE 195th Street-Ross Rd to North Creek Pkwy (additional capacity not needed)					
	Kirkland	R.IC-26 & R.PA-13	NE 132nd - 113th to 124th Ave NE				✓ *	✓ *
12.	Collector Distributors (CD) Matched to fit I-405 Improvements							
	Renton	R.CD-1	SR-167, SR-169, Sunset and SR 900/North Renton;					
	Bellevue	R.CD-2	Coal Creek, SR 90, SE 8th, NE 4th, NE 8th and SR 520;					
	Kirkland	R.CD-3	NE 70th and NE 85th;					
	Kirkland	R.CD-4	NE 116th and NE 132nd;					
	Bothell, King Co	R.CD-5	NE 160th, SR-522 and SR 527					
	HOV (HOV)							
7.	Committed HOV Projects							
	Bellevue	HOV-01	I-405 at NE 4th/6th/8th (Bellevue) / Construct new HOV direct access at NE 6th, Improve arterial capacity at NE 4th/8th interchanges	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Bellevue	HOV-02	I-90 (Eastgate) / New I-90 HOV direct access connection to P&R	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	WSDOT	HOV-14	I-405 (I-5 Swamp Creek to SR 527)/Construct NB and SB HOV lanes total 6 lanes	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	KCDOT	HOV-15	E Lk Samm Pkwy (Iss-Fall City Rd to I-90 on ramp)/Widen to 4/5 lanes + HOV lanes. Outside of Study Area					
	ST	HOV-101	I-405 @ Lind/HOV direct access improvements.				✓	
	ST	HOV-102, R.HOV-58 & R.PA-1	Woodinville Arterial Enhancements/HOV arterial enhancements	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Renton	R.HOV-32	Between Sunset and SR-900 /Park Ave interchange in Renton	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Renton	R.HOV-33 & R.IC-12	NE 44th I/C - HOV Direct Access and Arterial Improvements(Assumes Port Quendall)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Kirkland	R.HOV-61	NE 85th				✓	
	Bothell	R.HOV-62	SR 522 Campus Access	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Bothell	R.HOV-63	SR 527	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Tukwila	R.HOV-64	Southcenter (In-Line Station). In line station at this location has been dropped.					
	ST	R.HOV-66	I-405 at NE 128th St/HOV Direct Access Improvements	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

* Evaluated within another project

APPENDIX B
I-405 Corridor Program EIS Alternatives Project Matrix

				Alternatives				
		Jurisdiction	ACTIONS	5	1	2	3	4
Element #				No Action	HCT/TDM	Mixed Mode with HCT/Transit Emphasis	Mixed Mode	General Capacity
7.	HOV Interchange Ramps (Direct Access)							
	Tukwila	R.HOV-25	SR 5 I/C @ Tukwila Fwy to Fwy HOV ramps,			✓	✓	✓
	Renton	R.HOV-26	SR 167 I/C Fwy to Fwy HOV ramps,			✓	✓	✓
	Bellevue	R.HOV-27	SR 90 I/C Fwy to Fwy HOV ramps,			✓	✓	✓
	Bellevue	R.HOV-28	SR 520 Fwy to Fwy HOV ramps,			✓	✓	✓
	Bothell	R.HOV-29	SR 522 Fwy to Fwy HOV Ramps			✓	✓	✓
	Sno. Co.	R.HOV-30	SR 5 I/C @ Swamp Creek Fwy HOV ramps.			✓	✓	✓
	Newcastle	R.HOV-65	112th St SE (In-Line Station)			✓		
6.	Arterial HOV							
	Bellevue	R.HOV-36	Coal Creek Pkwy from I-405 to Forest Drive		✓	✓	✓	
	Bellevue	R.HOV-37	NE 8th Street from I-405 to 120th Ave NE		✓	✓	✓	
	Kirk, Redmond	R.HOV-38	NE 85th St from Kirkland Way to 148th Ave NE Vicinity		✓	✓	✓	
	Kirkland	R.HOV-39	NE 116th from 115th Ave NE to 124th Ave NE		✓	✓	✓	
	Kirkland	R.HOV-40	NE 124th from 113th Ave NE to 132 Ave NE		✓	✓	✓	
	Bothell	R.HOV-41 & R.IC-11	SR 527 From SE 228th St to SR 524		✓	✓ *	✓ *	
	Renton	R.HOV-43 & R.IC-4	SR 169 from SR 405 to Riverview Park Vicinity - HOV/Transit Preferential treatment.		✓	✓	✓	
	Renton	R.HOV-44	SW 27th St Corridor in Renton from Oaksdale Ave to SR 167		✓	✓	✓	
	Redmond	R.HOV-47	Avondale Rd from Novelty Hill Rd to Avondale Way/ Construct SB HOV lane		✓	✓	✓	
	Renton, King Co	R.HOV-48	SW 43 St from SR 167 to 140 Ave SE		✓	✓	✓	
	Renton	R.HOV-49	Logan Ave N/N 6 St from S 3 St to Park Dr, Transit Signal Priority		✓	✓	✓	
	Renton	R.HOV-51	Park Dr/Sunset Blvd from Garden Ave to Duvall Ave NE, Que Bypass'		✓	✓	✓	
	Kenmore	R.HOV-53 & R.PA-11	68 Ave NE (Simonds Rd to SR 522) - Construct NB HOV lane		✓	✓	✓	
	Redmond	R.HOV-55	Willows Rd (Redmond Wy to NE 124 St)		✓	✓	✓	
	Kirkland, Bellevue	R.HOV-56	Lake Washington Blvd (SR 520 to Yarrow Bay) - HOV lanes		✓	✓	✓	
	Kirkland	R.HOV-57	NE 68 St/NE 72 Pl (I-405 Vicinity) Que Bypass'		✓	✓	✓	
	Bothell, Woodin	R.HOV-58, HOV-102 & R.PA-1	SR 522 (I-405 to SR 527 - Bothell) WB HOV Que Bypass - See HOV-102					
	Renton, King Co	R.HOV-59	Benson Rd - I-405 to SE Carr Rd - No Project					
	Bellevue	R.HOV-60	Bellevue Way - I-90 to South Bellevue Park and Ride Vicinity		✓	✓	✓	
23.	Freight (F)							
	Renton	R.FR-10 & R.BI-1	Modify SR 167 Interchange for East to South Freight movements		✓ *	✓ *	✓ *	
	Various	R.FR-11	Improve truck flow with ITS		✓	✓	✓	
	Various	R.FR-23	Remote area for overnight freight parking and staging for early morning deliveries		✓	✓	✓	
	Various	R.FR-26	Full depth shoulders for truck usage on key freeways and arterials)		✓	✓	✓	
	Various	R.FR-27	Traveler Information System (TIS) on SR 167 for I-405 "options"		✓	✓	✓	
	Various	R.FR-28	TIS on I-5 for SR 18/I-90; and 164th to I-405; and South 200th to I-405		✓	✓	✓	
	Various	R.FR-29	Centralized fax/radio for real time congestion reporting for dispatchers and truck drivers. Leverage WSDOT video linkages (e.g., a "T-911" number).		✓	✓	✓	
	Various	R.FR-30	Hours of operation and service periods optimized—"JIT" redefined for applicable service sectors (e.g. restaurants)		✓	✓	✓	
	Various	R.FR-32	Light cargo delivery using Sound Transit service		✓	✓	✓	
22.	Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS)							
	Various	ITS-1	Add Camera Coverage to decrease TMC blind spots		✓	✓	✓	✓
	Various	ITS-2	Complete Ramp Metering		✓	✓	✓	✓
	Various	ITS-4	Dual Lane Ramp Metering		✓	✓	✓	✓

* Evaluated within another project

APPENDIX B
I-405 Corridor Program EIS Alternatives Project Matrix

				Alternatives				
	Jurisdiction	ACTIONS		5	1	2	3	4
Element #				No Action	HCT/TDM	Mixed Mode with HCT/Transit Emphasis	Mixed Mode	General Capacity
	Various	ITS-5	Increased Incident Response		✓	✓	✓	✓
	Various	ITS-6	Traffic adaptive control on arterials		✓	✓	✓	✓
	Various	ITS-7	TIS before all major decision points		✓	✓	✓	✓
	Various	ITS-8	WSDOT support of in-vehicle traffic information		✓	✓	✓	✓
	Various	ITS-9	Arterial camera coverage		✓	✓	✓	✓
4.	High Capacity Transit (Physically Separated, Fixed Guideway HCT)							
	Tuk. & Renton	T.HCT-1	HCT- SeaTac to Renton CBD		✓	✓		
	Renton	T.HCT-2	HCT-Renton CBD to NE 44th (Port Quendall)		✓	✓		
	Ren< New & Bel	T.HCT-3	HCT- NE 44th (Port Quendall) to Factoria		✓	✓		
	Bell & Issa	T.HCT-4	HCT - Factoria To Issaquah		✓	✓		
	Bellevue	T.HCT-5	HCT Factoria to Downtown Bellevue		✓	✓		
	Bell & Red	T.HCT-6	HCT - Bellevue to Redmond		✓	✓		
	Bell & Kirk	T.HCT-7	HCT- Bellevue to Totem Lake		✓	✓		
	Kirk & King Co	T.HCT-8	HCT - Totem Lake to Bothell		✓	✓		
	Various	T.HCT-9	HCT - Bothell to Lynnwood		✓	✓		
4.	High Capacity Transit (Bus rapid transit [BRT] operating improved access HOV lanes on the existing freeway system)							
	Tuk. & Renton	T.HCT-1	HCT- SeaTac to Renton CBD				✓	
	Renton	T.HCT-2	HCT-Renton CBD to NE 44th (Port Quendall)				✓	
	Ren< New & Bel	T.HCT-3	HCT- NE 44th (Port Quendall) to Factoria				✓	
	Bell & Issa	T.HCT-4	HCT - Factoria To Issaquah				✓	
	Bellevue	T.HCT-5	HCT Factoria to Downtown Bellevue				✓	
	Bell & Red	T.HCT-6	HCT - Bellevue to Redmond				✓	
	Bell & Kirk	T.HCT-7	HCT- Bellevue to Totem Lake				✓	
	Kirk & King Co	T.HCT-8	HCT - Totem Lake to Bothell				✓	
	Various	T.HCT-9	HCT - Bothell to Lynnwood				✓	
4.	High Capacity Transit Stations							
	Sea-Tac	HCT.TS-1	Sea-Tac (Outside of Study Area)					
	Tukwila	HCT.TS-2	Southcenter		✓	✓	✓	
	Tukwila & Renton	HCT.TS-3	Tukwila (Longacres)		✓	✓		
	Renton	HCT.TS-4	Downtown Renton		✓	✓	✓	
	Renton	HCT.TS-5	North Renton		✓	✓		
	Renton	HCT.TS-6	Port Quendall		✓	✓	✓	
	Bellevue	HCT.TS-7	Factoria		✓	✓	✓	
	Bellevue	HCT.TS-8	Bellevue Transit Center		✓	✓	✓	
	Bellevue	HCT.TS-9	Bellevue Library		✓	✓		
	Bell & Kirk	HCT.TS-10	SR 520/Northup Way		✓	✓	✓	
	Kirkland	HCT.TS-11	Downtown Kirkland (NE 85th Street)		✓	✓	✓	
	Kirkland	HCT.TS-12	Totem Lake		✓	✓	✓	
	Woodinville	HCT.TS-13	NE 145th Street		✓	✓		
	Woodinville	HCT.TS-14	Woodinville		✓	✓		
	Bothell	HCT.TS-15	NE 195th		✓	✓	✓	

* Evaluated within another project

APPENDIX B
I-405 Corridor Program EIS Alternatives Project Matrix

				Alternatives				
	Jurisdiction	ACTIONS		5	1	2	3	4
Element #				No Action	HCT/TDM	Mixed Mode with HCT/Transit Emphasis	Mixed Mode	General Capacity
	Bothell	HCT.TS-16	Canyon Park		✓	✓	✓	
	Sno County	HCT.TS-17	164th Street AW (AshWay)		✓	✓		
	Bellevue	HCT.TS-18	Eastgate		✓	✓	✓	
	King County	HCT.TS-19	Lakemont		✓	✓		
	Issaquah	HCT.TS-20	Issaquah 90Outside of Study area)					
	Bellevue	HCT.TS-21	132nd Avenue NE		✓	✓		
	Bellevue	HCT.TS-22	148th Avenue NE		✓	✓		
	Redmond	HCT.TS-23	Overlake (NE 40th Street)		✓	✓	✓	
	Redmond	HCT.TS-24	Redmond Town Center		✓	✓	✓	
	Redmond	HCT.TS-25	Bear Creek		✓	✓		
	Mercer Island	HCT.TS-26	Mercer Island		✓	✓	✓	
New Transit Service (TS)								
	Various	TS-0	Twenty percent more service than in the proposed 6-year plans for sound Transit, METRO and Community Transit	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Various	TS-1	Fifty percent more service assumed in the current 6-year plans for Sound Transit, METRO and Community Transit					✓
3.	Transit Service (TS)							
	Various	TS-2	Twice the service in the proposed 6-year plans for Sound Transit, METRO and Community Transit		✓	✓	✓	
8.	Park and Rides (PR)							
	Renton	T.PR-3	Renton Highlands	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Tukwila & Ren	T.PR-6	Tukwila Commuter Rail (Longacres)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	K C	T.PR-8	SR 169 and 140th Place SE		✓	✓	✓	
	K C	T.PR-9	Petrovitsky Rd and 157th Ave SE		✓	✓	✓	
	K C	T.PR-10	140th Ave SE and SE 192nd		✓	✓	✓	
	K C	T.PR-11	SR 515 and SE 208th		✓	✓	✓	
	Kent & Renton	T.PR-12	SR 167 and SW 43rd		✓	✓	✓	
	Kent & Renton	T.PR-13	SR 167 and 84th Ave		✓	✓	✓	
	Redmond	T.PR-17	Willows Rd @ NE 100th		✓	✓	✓	
	Redmond	T.PR-18	SR 202 @ NE 100th		✓	✓	✓	
	Bell & Kirk	T.PR-20	South Kirkland	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Redmond	T.PR-21	Overlake	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Bellevue	T.PR-22	South Bellevue	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Bellevue	T.PR-23	Newport (112th Ave. SE)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	KC	T.PR-24	NE 160th/Brickyard Rd	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Bothell	T.PR-25	Canyon Park (SR 405 and SR 527)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	KC	T.PR-26	SR 202 @ NE 145th		✓	✓	✓	
	Tukwila	T.PR-30	Tukwila	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Kirkland	T.PR-31	Houghton	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Kirkland	T.PR-32	Kingsgate	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Medina	T.PR-33	Evergreen Point	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Bellevue	T.PR-34	Wilburton	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	King County	T.PR-35	Lakemont	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Redmond	T.PR-36	Rendmond	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Redmond	T.PR-37	Bear Creek	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Bothell	T.PR-38	Bothell	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

* Evaluated within another project

APPENDIX B
I-405 Corridor Program EIS Alternatives Project Matrix

				Alternatives				
	Jurisdiction	ACTIONS		5	1	2	3	4
Element #				No Action	HCT/TDM	Mixed Mode with HCT/Transit Emphasis	Mixed Mode	General Capacity
	Kenmore	T.PR-39	Northshore	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Kenmore	T.PR-40	Kenmore	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Woodinville	T.PR-41	Woodinville	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Mercer Island	T.PR-42	Mercer Island	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Bellevue	T.PR-43	Eastgate	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9.	Transit Centers (TC)							
	Renton	T.TC-6	Downtown Renton	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Bellevue	T.TC-8	Downtown Bellevue	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Redmond	T.TC-9	Overlake	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Kirkland	T.TC-12	Downtown Kirkland	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Kirkland	T.TC-14	Totem Lake	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
1.	TDM (TDM)							
	Various	TDM-1	TDM Package		✓	✓	✓	✓
		TDM-2	Expanded TDM Package- Regional Congestion Pricing		✓			
	Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities (P&B)							
21.	I-405 Crossings							
	Bellevue	NM. CR-1	Lk Washington Blvd/112th Ave. SE - crossing I-405 from 106th Ave. SE to 112th Place SE - Add sidewalks		✓	✓	✓	✓
	Bothell	NM. CR-2	Fitzgerald Rd/27th Ave. - crossing I-405 from 228th St. SE to 240th St. SE - Add ped/bike facility		✓	✓	✓	✓
	King County	NM. CR-3	SR-524 (Filbert Road) - crossing I-405 from North Rd to Locust Way - Add sidewalk/paved shoulder		✓	✓	✓	✓
	Sno. County	NM. CR-4	Damson Road - crossing I-405 from 192nd St SW to Logan Rd - Add sidewalk/paved shoulder		✓	✓	✓	✓
	Renton	NM. CR-5	NE Park Drive - crossing I-405 from SR-900/Sunset Blvd to Lake Wash Blvd - Add sidewalk/paved shoulder		✓	✓	✓	✓
	Renton	NM. CR-6	Jackson SW/Longacres Dr SW - crossing I-405 from S. Longacres Way to Monster Rd SW - Add sidewalk/paved shoulder		✓	✓	✓	✓
	Bothell	NM. CR-7	Connection between Sammamish River Trail and North Creek Trail - between SR-522 and NE 195th St. - Add ped/bike overcrossing of I-405		✓	✓	✓	✓
	Bothell	NM. CR-8	SR-527 - crossing I-405 from 220th St SE to 228th St SE - ped/bike facility		✓	✓	✓	✓
21.	Pedestrian/Bicycle Connections							
	Bellevue,Kirkland	NM.P&B-2	BNSF Right of Way - SE 8th to Totem Lake - Add ped/bike facility.		✓	✓	✓	
	Bellevue	NM.P&B-4	Lk Washington Blvd - SR 405 to SE 60th - Add ped/bike facilities		✓	✓	✓	
	Bothell	NM.P&B-5	North Creek Trail Link - 240th to 232nd - Add ped/bike trail.		✓	✓	✓	
	Bel,Nwcas,Ren	NM.P&B-6	Lk Washington Blvd/112th - SE 60th to May Creek I/C - Add ped/bike facility		✓	✓	✓	
	Renton	NM.P&B-14	Cedar River Trail S. Extension - I-405 to Burnett Ave - Add ped/bike facilities		✓	✓	✓	
	Renton	NM.P&B-15	Cedar River Trail/Lake Washington Blvd Connector - Cedar River Trail to Lk Wash Blvd Loop - Add ped/bike facilities		✓	✓	✓	
	Renton	NM.P&B-16	Cedar-Duwamish Trail Connection - I-405 to Interurban Ave. S. - Add ped/bike facilities		✓	✓	✓	
	Renton	NM.P&B-17	I-405/SR-167 trail connection - Lind Ave. SE to Talbot Rd S. - Add trail connection		✓	✓	✓	
	Renton/Tukwila	NM.P&B-18	I-405/I-5 - via or around I-405/I-5 interchange - Add ped/bike facilities		✓	✓	✓	✓
	Tukwila	NM.P&B-19	SR-181/W. Valley Hwy - crossing I-405 from Strander Blvd to Fort Dent Way - Add bike lanes		✓	✓	✓	✓
17.	Arterial Committed Projects		(Note: ID numbers are same as ETP ID's)					
	Bothell, Snohomish C	R.AC-21	120th NE/39th SE - NE 95th to Maltby Rd - 4/5 lanes including new connection	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Bellevue	R-08	NE 29th PI (148th Ave NE to NE 24th St)/Construct new 2-lane road	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

* Evaluated within another project

APPENDIX B

I-405 Corridor Program EIS Alternatives Project Matrix

				Alternatives				
		Jurisdiction	ACTIONS	5	1	2	3	4
Element #				No Action	HCT/TDM	Mixed Mode with HCT/Transit Emphasis	Mixed Mode	General Capacity
	Snohomish Co.	R-10	SR 524 (24 St SW to SR 527)--- Widen to 4/5 lanes including sidewalks, bike lanes	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Bothell	R-13	Beardslee Blvd (Main St to I-405)Widen to 3 lanes+CGS (Project does not add capacity)					
	Joint	R-17 & R-17(10)	I-90/SR 900 Interchange and SR 900 improvements--- Interchange reconfiguration. Project is outside of the Study Area					
	Issaquah	R-18	Issaquah bypass (Issaquah-Hobart Rd to I-90)-- Construct new 4/5 lanes with separated ped/bike trail. Project is outside of the Study Area.					
	Kirkland	R-21	NE 120 St (Slater Ave to 124 Ave NE)--- Construct new 3-lane roadway with ped/bike facilities	✓				
	Redmond/ WSDOT	R-25	SR 202 Corridor Improvements(East Lake Sammamish Pkwy to Sahalee Way)--- Widen to 3/5 lanes; intersection improvements with bike/ped facilities	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Redmond	R-26	NE 90 St (Willows Rd to SR 202)--- Construct new 4/5 lanes + bike facilities	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Redmond	R-28	West Lake Sammamish Parkway (Leary Way to Bel-Red Rd)--- Widen to 4/5 lanes + CGS, bike lanes	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Renton	R-36	Oakesdale Ave SW (SW 31st to SW 16th)--- Construct new 5 lane roadway with CGS	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	WSDOT	R-38	SR 522 (SR 9 to SR 2)--- Widen to 4 lanes					
	KCDOT	R-39 & R.AC-2	140 Ave SE (SR 169 to SE 208 St)--- Widen to 5 lanes SR 169 to SE 196 St, widen for turn channels on SE 196. Combines 2 King County CIP projects. A major North-South arterial which serves the Soos Creek Plateau and Fairwood.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	KCDOT	R-40 & R.IC-24	Juanita-Woodinville Way (NE 145 St to 112th Ave NE) Widen to 5 lanes + CGS, walkway/pathway	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	KCDOT	R-41	East Lake Sammamish Pkwy (Issaquah-Fall City Rd to SE 56 St)--- Widen 4/5 lanes including bike facilities. Construct CGS; interconnect traffic signals. Project is outside of the Study Area.					
	Issaquah	R-42	Sammamish Plateau Access Road (I-90 to Iss.-Pine Lake Rd)-- Prepare EIS, construct new 5-lane arterial w/ CGS, bike lanes. Project is outside of the Study Area.					
	Sammamish	R-44	228 Ave SE (SE 24th to NE 8 St)--- Widen to 4/5 lanes + CGS, bike lanes. Planned in 2 phases. Project is outside of the Study Area.					
	KCDOT	R-45	Issaquah-Fall City Rd (Issaquah-Pine Lake Rd to Klahanie Dr) - Phase II & III--- Widen to 4/5 lanes + CGS, bike lanes. Project is outside of the Study Area.					
	KCDOT	R-47	NE 124 St (Willows Rd to SR 202)--- Widen to 4/5 lanes + CGS, bike facilities; traffic signal.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	KCDOT	R-48	Avondale Rd (Tolt Pipeline to Woodinville-Duvall Rd)--- Widen to 3 lanes + walkway/pathway (Project does not add capacity)					
	Woodinville	R-51	Woodinville-Snohomish Rd/140 Ave NE (NE 175 St to SR 522)--- Widen to 4/5 lanes + CGS, bike lanes	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	KCDOT	R-52	Woodinville-Duvall Rd (NE 171st St to Avondale Rd)--- Widen to 5 lanes + shoulders (without widening towards Woodinville the added capacity can't be used)					
	Bellevue	R-101	150th Ave SE---Widen to 7 lanes from SE 36th to SE 38th; add turn lanes	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Redmond	R-111 & R.AC-15	Willows Rd Corridor Improvements-- Channelization of Willows Rd/Redmond Way intersection and widening of Willows Rd from NE 116th to NE 124th	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Snohomish Co.	R-117	39th Ave SE Realignment at SR 524 and York Rd--- Construct 4-way intersection to replace 2 offset intersections	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
17.	Planned Arterial Projects							
	Sound Transit	R.PA-1, HOV-102 & R.HOV-58	SR 522 (Woodinville to Bothell)--- HOV enhancements (ETP 246) See HOV-102					
	Bellevue	R.PA-2	148 Ave SE (SE 24 St to SE 28 St) New SB lane from SE 24 St to the WB I-90 on-ramp (ETP 203)			✓	✓	✓
	Bothell	R.PA-3	SR 522 Multimodal Corridor Project--- Widen SR-522 mostly within existing ROW to provide transit lanes, safety improvements, consolidated driveways & left turn lanes; and sidewalks. (ETP R-107)			✓	✓	✓
	Bothell	R.PA-4	SR 524 (SR 527 to Bothell City Limit)--- Widen to 5 lanes + CGS, bike facilities (class III) (ETP R-11)			✓	✓	✓
	KCDOT	R.PA-5	SE 212 Way/SE 208 St (SR 167 to Benson Rd/SR 515)--- Widen to 6 lanes + bike facilities, Transit/HOV preferential treatment, turn channels. (ETP R-46)			✓	✓	✓
	KCDOT	R.PA-6	Petrovitsky Rd (143 Ave SE to 151 Ave SE) --- Widen to 5 lanes + CGS, bike lanes, traffic signal, interconnect (ETP 265). Project has already been constructed.					
	KCDOT	R.PA-7	Bear Creek Arterial (NE 80 St to Novelty Hill Rd)--- Corridor study and construction of new 3 lane arterial (ETP 141). Project is outside the study area					
	KCDOT	R.PA-8	NE 124/128 St (SR 202 to Avondale Rd)--- Widen to 4/5 lanes including bike & equestrian facilities (ETP 164)			✓	✓	✓
	KCDOT	R.PA-9	SE 208 St (116 Ave SE to 132 Ave SE)--- Widen to 4/5 lanes + CGS, bike lanes, traffic signal (ETP 263). Project has already been constructed.					

* Evaluated within another project

APPENDIX B
I-405 Corridor Program EIS Alternatives Project Matrix

				Alternatives				
	Jurisdiction	ACTIONS		5	1	2	3	4
Element #				No Action	HCT/TDM	Mixed Mode with HCT/Transit Emphasis	Mixed Mode	General Capacity
	KCDOT	R.PA-10	NE 132 St Extension (132 Ave NE to Willows Rd Ext.)--- Construct new 3 lane arterial with CGS, bike lanes (ETP 61)			✓	✓	✓
	Kenmore/KCDOT	R.PA-11 & R.HOV-53	68 Ave NE (Simonds Rd to SR 522)--- Construct NB HOV lane total of 5/6 lanes (ETP 22)			✓ *	✓ *	✓
	Kirkland	R.PA-12	124 Ave NE (NE 85 St to Slater Rd NE)--- Widen to 3 lanes (s. of NE 116th St, 5 lanes n. of NE 116th St with ped/bike facilities (ETP R-23)			✓	✓	✓
	Kirkland	R.PA-13 & R.IC-26	NE 132 St (100 Ave NE to 116 Way NE)--- Widen to 3 lanes + CGS, Bike lane (ETP R-124)			✓	✓	✓
	Kirkland	R.PA-14	NE 100 St (117 Ave NE to Slater Ave) --- Construct bike/pedestrian/emergency Vehicle overpass across I-405 (ETP 309)			✓	✓	✓
	Newcastle	R.PA-15	Coal Creek Pkwy (SE 72 St to Renton City Limits)--- Widen to 4/5 lanes + CGS, bike lanes, traffic signals (ETP R-24)			✓	✓	✓
	Redmond	R.PA-16	Redmond 148th Ave NE Corridor - 3 projects--- Turn lane and channelization improvements along corridor – BROTS;			✓	✓	✓
	Redmond	R.PA-17	Bear Creek Pkwy--- Construct new 162nd Ave NE arterial and new 72nd St arterial w/ bike/ped and CSG; widen Bear Creek Pkwy (ETP R-110)			✓	✓	✓
	Redmond	R.PA-18	Union Hill Rd (Avondale Rd to 196 Ave NE)--- Widen to 4/5 lanes with bike facilities (ETP R-27)			✓	✓	✓
	Renton	R.PA-19	Duvall Ave NE (NE 4 St to NE 25 Court -City Limits)--- Widen to 5 lanes + CGS, bikeway (ETP R-31)			✓	✓	✓
	Renton	R.PA-20	Oakesdale Ave SW (Monster Rd to SR 900) Replace Monster Rd Bridge; widen to 4/5 lanes +Bike Lanes + CGS (ETP R-35)			✓	✓	✓
	Renton	R.PA-21	Rainier Ave / Grady Way (intersection)-- Grade separation			✓	✓	✓
	Renton	R.PA-22	SW Grady Way (SR 167 to SR 515)--- Rechannelize and modify signals for a continuous eastbound lane (ETP R-37)			✓	✓	✓
	Renton	R.PA-23	SR 167 at East Valley Road--- New southbound off-ramp and signalization at East Valley Road (ETP 255)			✓	✓	✓
	Renton/ KCDOT	R.PA-24	Soos Creek Regional Links--- Placeholder for Trans-Valley Study (ETP R-115)			✓	✓	✓
	Woodinville	R.PA-25 & R.AC-30	SR 522 Interchange Package(SR 522/SR 202 &SR522/195th St)--- Access improvements and new freeway ramps (ETP R-53) (See R.AC-30)			✓	✓	✓
	Woodinville	R.PA-26	SR202 Corridor Package (SR202/148th Ave & SR202/127th Place)--- Intersection improvements (ETP R-54)			✓	✓	✓
	WSDOT	R.PA-27	SR 520/SR 202 Interchange --- Complete interchange by constructing a new ramp and thru lane on 202 to SR 520 (ETP R-29)			✓	✓	✓
	WSDOT	R.PA-28 & R.AC-17	SR 202 / 140 Place NE (NE 124 St to NE 175 St)--- Widen 4/5 lanes (ETP R-43) (See R.AC-17, 18)			✓	✓	✓
	WSDOT	R.PA-29	SR 202 (Sahalee Way to Bear Creek-Sammamish Arterial)-- Widen to 4/5 lanes (ETP 152). Project is outside the Study Area.					

* Evaluated within another project

APPENDIX C

Communications and Coordination

No specific correspondence was received. However, general coordination is presented in Section 3.3 of this report.